

Volume 18 | Issue 4

Article 17

# SHAPE OPTIMIZATION OF ONE-CHAMBER MUFFLERS WITH PERFORATED INTRUDING TUBES USING A SIMULATED ANNEALING METHOD

Ying-Chun Chang Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tatung University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C, ycchang@ttu.edu.tw

Min-Chie Chiu

Department of Automatic Control Engineering, ChungChou Institute of Technology, 6, Lane 2, Sec. 3, Shanchiao Rd., Yuanlin, Changhua 51003, Taiwan, R.O.C

Wang-Chuan Liu Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tatung University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C

Follow this and additional works at: https://jmstt.ntou.edu.tw/journal

Part of the Engineering Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Chang, Ying-Chun; Chiu, Min-Chie; and Liu, Wang-Chuan (2010) "SHAPE OPTIMIZATION OF ONE-CHAMBER MUFFLERS WITH PERFORATED INTRUDING TUBES USING A SIMULATED ANNEALING METHOD," *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*: Vol. 18: Iss. 4, Article 17.

DOI: 10.51400/2709-6998.1926

Available at: https://jmstt.ntou.edu.tw/journal/vol18/iss4/17

This Research Article is brought to you for free and open access by Journal of Marine Science and Technology. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Marine Science and Technology by an authorized editor of Journal of Marine Science and Technology.

## SHAPE OPTIMIZATION OF ONE-CHAMBER MUFFLERS WITH PERFORATED INTRUDING TUBES USING A SIMULATED ANNEALING METHOD

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the National Science Council (NSC 97-2221-E-235-001, ROC).

### SHAPE OPTIMIZATION OF ONE-CHAMBER MUFFLERS WITH PERFORATED INTRUDING TUBES USING A SIMULATED ANNEALING METHOD

Ying-Chun Chang\*, Min-Chie Chiu\*\*, and Wang-Chuan Liu\*

Key words: open-ended perforated tube, decoupled numerical method, space constraints, simulated annealing.

#### ABSTRACT

It has been noted that the application of high performance, compact mufflers is the future for modern factories where place is at a premium. However, as research on mufflers equipped with extended tubes has been exhausted, and frankly at the juncture, shown to be inadequate (unsuitable), attention has turned to mufflers conjugated with perforated intruding tubes which can dramatically increase acoustical performance. Therefore, the focus of this paper is not only to analyze the sound transmission loss (STL) of a one-chamber open-ended perforated muffler but also to optimize the best design shape within a limited space.

In this paper, the four-pole system matrix for evaluating the acoustic performance - sound transmission loss (STL) - is derived by using a decoupled numerical method. Additionally, a simulated annealing (SA), a robust scheme used to search for the global optimum by imitating the metal's heating process, has been used during the optimization process. Before dealing with a broadband noise, the STL's maximization with respect to a one-tone noise is introduced for a reliability check on the SA method. Also, an accuracy check on the mathematical model is performed. To appreciate the acoustical ability of the new mufflers, traditional mufflers, including a simple expansion muffler as well as a non-perforated intruding-tube muffler, have been assessed. Results reveal that the maximal STL is precisely located at the desired targeted tone. In addition, the acoustical performance of mufflers conjugated with perforated intruding tubes is found to be superior to the traditional mufflers. Consequently, the approach used for the optimal design of the noise elimination proposed in this study is quite effective.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

To overcome the low frequency noise emitted from venting systems, mufflers have been continually used [8]. Research on mufflers was started by Davis et al. in 1954 [4]. Based on the plane wave theory, studies of simple expansion mufflers without perforated holes have been made [6, 10, 15]. To increase a muffler's acoustical performance, the assessment of a new acoustical element - internal perforated plug tubes was discussed by Sullivan and Crocker [19]. On the basis of the coupled differential equations, a series of theoretical and numerical techniques in decoupling the acoustical problems have been proposed [16-18, 20]. In 1981, Jayaraman and Yam [5] developed a method in finding an analytical solution; however, a presumption of the velocity equality within the inner and outer duct, which is not reasonable in the real world, is required. To overcome this drawback, Munjal et al. [12] provided a generalized de-coupling method. Regarding the flowing effect, Peat [14] publicized the numerical decoupling method by finding the eigen value in transfer matrices.

In order to maintain a steady volume-flow-rate in a venting system, a muffler's back pressure within an allowable range is compulsory. Therefore, Wang [21] developed a perforated intruding-tube muffler (a low back-pressure muffler with nonplug tubes inside the cavity) using BEM (boundary element method). However, the need to investigate the optimal muffler design within certain space constraints is rarely seen. In previous work [1-3, 22], the shape optimization of a low backpressure muffler (a one-chamber simple expansion muffler and a one-chamber conjugated muffler with non-perforated intruding tubes) using a GA (genetic algorithm) and gradient methods within a space-constrained situation has been addressed; yet, the acoustical performance of above mufflers is still insufficient. In order to efficiently improve the performance of the noise control device and maintain a steady volumeflow-rate within a space-constrained situation, an optimal

Paper submitted 07/06/09; revised 08/28/09; accepted 08/29/09. Author for correspondence: Ying-Chun Chang (e-mail: ycchang@ttu.edu.tw).

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tatung University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Department of Automatic Control Engineering, ChungChou Institute of Technology, 6, Lane 2, Sec. 3, Shanchiao Rd., Yuanlin, Changhua 51003, Taiwan, R.O.C.



Fig. 1. Noise elimination of a fan noise inside a limited space.

design on a one-chamber muffler equipped with perforated intruding tubes is presented. In this paper, the four-pole system matrix for evaluating the acoustic performance — sound transmission loss (STL) — is derived by using a decoupled numerical method. A SA method patterned after the Darwinian notion of natural selection is applied in this work.

#### **II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND**

In this paper, a one-chamber muffler with perforated intruding tubes was adopted for noise elimination in the fan room shown in Fig. 1. Before the acoustical fields of the mufflers are analyzed, the acoustical elements have been distinguished. As shown in Fig. 2, three kinds of muffler components, including three straight tubes, a perforated intruding inlet tube, and a perforated intruding outlet tube, are identified and symbolized as I, II, and III. In addition, the acoustic pressure  $\overline{p}$  and acoustic particle velocity  $\overline{u}$  within the muffler are depicted in Fig. 3 where the acoustical field is represented by six points.

The muffler system is composed of three kinds of acoustical elements. The individual transfer matrix derivations with respect to three kinds of acoustical mechanisms are described as below.

#### 1. Transfer Matrix for a Straight Tube

For a one dimensional wave propagating in a symmetric straight tube shown in Fig. 3, the acoustic pressure and particle velocity are

$$p(x,t) = \left(k_1 e^{-jkx/(1+M)} + k_2 e^{+jkx/(1-M)}\right) e^{jwt}$$
(1)

$$u(x,t) = \left(\frac{k_1}{\rho_o c_o} e^{-jkx/(1+M)} - \frac{k_2}{\rho_o c_o} e^{+jkx/(1-M)}\right) e^{jwt}$$
(2)

Considering boundary conditions of pt 0 (x = 0) and pt 1 (x = L), Eqs. (1) and (2) can be rearranged as

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_o(0,0) \\ \rho_o c_o u_o(0,0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)



Fig. 2. Acoustical elements in a one-chamber muffler hybridized with perforated intruding tubes.



Fig. 3. The outline dimension and acoustical field in a one-chamber muffler hybridized with perforated intruding tubes.

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1(L,0) \\ \rho_o c_o u_1(L,0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-jk^+L} & e^{+jk^-L} \\ e^{-jk^+L} & -e^{+jk^-L} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4a)

where

$$k^{+} = \frac{k}{1+M_{1}}; k^{-} = \frac{k}{1-M_{1}}; L = L_{1} + L_{2}$$
 (4b)

A combination of (3) and (4) yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{o}(0,0) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{o}(0,0) \end{pmatrix} = e^{-j\frac{M_{1}k(L_{1}+L_{2})}{1-M_{1}^{2}}} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{k(L_{1}+L_{2})}{1-M_{1}^{2}}\right) & j\sin\left(\frac{k(L_{1}+L_{2})}{1-M_{1}^{2}}\right) \\ j\sin\left(\frac{k(L_{1}+L_{2})}{1-M_{1}^{2}}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{k(L_{1}+L_{2})}{1-M_{1}^{2}}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{1}(L,0) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{1}(L,0) \end{pmatrix}$$
(5a)

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_{o} \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{o} \end{pmatrix} = e^{-j\frac{M_{1}k(L_{1}+L_{2})}{1-M_{1}^{2}}} \begin{bmatrix} TS1_{1,1} & TS1_{1,2} \\ TS1_{2,1} & TS1_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_{1} \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5b)

where

$$\overline{p}_o = p_o(0,0); \ \overline{p}_1 = p_1(L,0); \ \overline{u}_o = u_o(0,0); \ \overline{u}_1 = u_1(L,0);$$

$$TS1_{1,1} = \cos\left[\frac{k(L_1 + L_2)}{1 - M_1^2}\right]; TS1_{1,2} = j\sin\left[\frac{k(L_1 + L_2)}{1 - M_1^2}\right];$$
$$TS1_{2,1} = j\sin\left[\frac{k(L_1 + L_2)}{1 - M_1^2}\right]; TS1_{2,2} = \cos\left[\frac{k(L_1 + L_2)}{1 - M_1^2}\right]$$
(5c)

Similarly, the transfer matrix between pt 5 and pt 6 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_5\\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_5 \end{pmatrix} = e^{-j\frac{M_s k L_4}{1-M_5^2}} \begin{bmatrix} TS2_{1,1} & TS2_{1,2}\\ TS2_{2,1} & TS2_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_6\\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_6 \end{pmatrix}$$
(6a)

where

$$TS2_{1,1} = \cos\left[\frac{kL_4}{1-M_5^2}\right]; TS2_{1,2} = j\sin\left[\frac{kL_4}{1-M_5^2}\right];$$
$$TS2_{2,1} = j\sin\left[\frac{kL_4}{1-M_5^2}\right]; TS2_{2,2} = \cos\left[\frac{kL_4}{1-M_5^2}\right]$$
(6b)

Likewise, the transfer matrix between pt 10 and pt 11 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_{10} \\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_{10} \end{pmatrix} = e^{-j \frac{M_{10} k (L_6 + L_7)}{1 - M_{10}^2}} \begin{bmatrix} TS3_{1,1} & TS3_{1,2} \\ TS3_{2,1} & TS3_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_{11} \\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$
(7a)

where

$$TS3_{1,1} = \cos\left[\frac{k(L_6 + L_7)}{1 - M_{10}^2}\right]; TS3_{1,2} = j\sin\left[\frac{k(L_6 + L_7)}{1 - M_{10}^2}\right];$$
$$TS3_{2,1} = j\sin\left[\frac{k(L_6 + L_7)}{1 - M_{10}^2}\right]; TS3_{2,2} = \cos\left[\frac{k(L_6 + L_7)}{1 - M_{10}^2}\right]$$
(7b)

#### 2. Transfer Matrix of a Perforated Intruding Inlet Tube

To analysis the acoustical mechanism of element (II), a detailed acoustical field represented by five points is depicted in Fig. 4. Based on Sullivan and Crocker's derivation [19], the continuity equations and momentum equations with respect to inner and outer tubes at nodes 1 and 2 are as follows.

#### Inner tube:

continuity equation

$$V_1 \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial x} + \rho_o \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \frac{4\rho_o}{D_1}u + \frac{\partial \rho_2}{\partial t} = 0$$
(8)

momentum equation:

$$\rho_o \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) u_1 + \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x} = 0$$
(9)



Fig. 4. The acoustical mechanism of a perforated intruding inlet tubes.

#### Outer tube:

continuity equation

$$\rho_o \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{4D_2\rho_o}{D_o^2 - D_1^2}u + \frac{\partial\rho_2}{\partial t} = 0$$
(10)

599

$$\rho_o \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) u_2 + \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{11}$$

Assuming that the acoustic wave is a harmonic motion

$$p(x,t) = P(x) \cdot e^{j\omega t} \tag{12}$$

Under the isentropic processes in ducts, it has

$$P(x) = \rho(x) \cdot c_o^2 \tag{13}$$

Assuming that the perforation along the inner tube is uniform (ie.  $d\zeta/dx = 0$ ), the acoustic impedance of the perforation ( $\rho_o c_o \zeta$ ) is

$$\rho_{o}c_{o}\varsigma = \frac{p_{1}(x) - p_{2}(x)}{u(x)}$$
(14)

where  $\zeta$  is the specific acoustical impedance of the perforated tube. According to the experience formula of  $\zeta$  developed by Sullivan [19] and Rao [16], the empirical formulations for the perforate tube with and without mean flow have been adopted in this study.

For perforates with stationary medium, we have

$$\varsigma = [0.006 + jk(t + 0.75dh)]/\eta$$
(15a)

For perforates with grazing flow, we have

$$\varsigma = [7.337 \times 10^{-3} (1 + 72.23M) + j2.2245 \times 10^{-5} (1 + 51t)(1 + 204dh) f]/\eta$$
(15b)

where dh is the diameter of the perforated hole on inner tube, t is the thickness of inner perforated tube, and  $\eta$  is the porosity of the perforated tube.

The available ranges of above parameters are

$$\begin{array}{l} 0.05 \leq M \leq 0.2; \ 0.03 \leq \eta \leq 0.1; \ 0.001 \leq \\ t \leq 0.003; \ 0.00175 \leq dh \leq 0.007 \end{array} \tag{15c}$$

By substituting (12)-(14) into (8)-(11), we have

$$\left\{\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{jM_1}{1 - M_1^2} \left[\frac{k_a^2 + k^2}{k}\right] \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{k_a^2}{1 - M_1^2}\right\} p_1$$
$$= -\left\{\frac{jM_1}{1 - M_1^2} \left[\frac{k_a^2 - k^2}{k}\right] \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{k_a^2 - k^2}{1 - M_1^2}\right\} p_2$$
(16a)

$$\rho_o \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) u_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_1 = 0$$
 (16b)

$$\left\{ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{jM_2}{1 - M_2^2} \left[ \frac{k_b^2 + k^2}{k} \right] \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{k_a^2}{1 - M_2^2} \right\} p_2$$
$$= -\left\{ \frac{jM_2}{1 - M_2^2} \left[ \frac{k_b^2 - k^2}{k} \right] \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{k_b^2 - k^2}{1 - M_2^2} \right\} p_1$$
(16c)

$$\rho_o \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) u_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_2 = 0$$
 (16d)

where

$$k = \frac{\omega}{c}; M_{j} = \frac{V_{j}}{c}; j = 1, 2; k_{a}^{2} = k^{2} - j\frac{4k}{D_{2}\varsigma};$$
$$k_{b}^{2} = k^{2} - j\frac{4kD_{2}}{(D_{o}^{2} - D_{2}^{2})\varsigma}; k_{a}^{2} = k^{2} - \frac{i4k}{d_{1}\xi}, k_{b}^{2} = k^{2} - \frac{i4kd_{1}}{(d_{2}^{2} - d_{1}^{2})\xi}$$

Eliminating  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  by the differentiation and substitution of (16) yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} D^2 + \alpha_1 D + \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 D + \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 D + \alpha_6 & D^2 + \alpha_7 D + \alpha_8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(17a)

where

$$D = \frac{d}{dx}; \alpha_1 = -\frac{jM_1}{1 - M_1^2} \left(\frac{k_a^2 + k^2}{k}\right); \alpha_2 = \frac{k_a^2}{1 - M_1^2};$$
$$\alpha_3 = \frac{jM_1}{1 - M_1^2} \cdot \left(\frac{k_a^2 - k^2}{k}\right); \alpha_4 = -\left(\frac{k_a^2 - k^2}{1 - M_1^2}\right);$$

$$\alpha_{5} = \frac{jM_{2}}{1 - M_{2}^{2}} \left( \frac{k_{b}^{2} - k^{2}}{k} \right); \alpha_{6} = -\left( \frac{k_{b}^{2} - k^{2}}{1 - M_{2}^{2}} \right);$$
$$\alpha_{7} = \frac{jM_{2}}{1 - M_{2}^{2}} \left( \frac{k_{b}^{2} + k^{2}}{k} \right); \alpha_{8} = \frac{k_{b}^{2}}{1 - M_{2}^{2}}$$
(17b)

Developing (17a), we obtain

$$p_1 + \alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_1 + \alpha_3 p_2 + \alpha_4 p_2 = 0$$
 (18a)

$$\alpha_5 p_1 + \alpha_6 p_1 + p_2 + \alpha_7 p_2 + \alpha_8 p_2 = 0$$
 (18b)

Let 
$$p_1' = \frac{dp_1}{dx} = y_1, \ p_2' = \frac{dp_2}{dx} = y_2, \ p_1 = y_3, \ p_2 = y_4$$
 (19)

According to (18) and (19), the new matrix between  $\{y'\}$  and  $\{y\}$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3} \\ y_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha_{1} & -\alpha_{3} & -\alpha_{2} & -\alpha_{4} \\ -\alpha_{5} & -\alpha_{7} & -\alpha_{6} & -\alpha_{8} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3} \\ y_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(20a)

which can be briefly expressed as

$$\left\{ y' \right\} = \left[ \mathbf{N} \right] \left\{ y \right\} \tag{20b}$$

Let 
$$\{y\} = [\Omega] \{\Gamma\}$$
 (21a)

which is

$$\begin{bmatrix} dp_1 / dx \\ dp_2 / dx \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{1,1} & \Omega_{1,2} & \Omega_{1,3} & \Omega_{1,4} \\ \Omega_{2,1} & \Omega_{2,2} & \Omega_{2,3} & \Omega_{2,4} \\ \Omega_{3,1} & \Omega_{3,2} & \Omega_{3,3} & \Omega_{3,4} \\ \Omega_{4,1} & \Omega_{4,2} & \Omega_{4,3} & \Omega_{4,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 \\ \Gamma_3 \\ \Gamma_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
(21b)

 $[\Omega]_{4\times 4}$  is the model matrix formed by four sets of eigen vectors  $\Omega_{4\times 1}$  of  $[N]_{4\times 4}.$ 

Substituting (21) into (20) and then multiplying  $[\Omega]^{-1}$  by both sides yields

$$[\Omega]^{-1}[\Omega]\{\Gamma'\} = [\Omega]^{-1}[N][\Omega]\{\Gamma\}$$
(22)

Set 
$$[\chi] = [\Omega]^{-1} [N] [\Omega] = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (23)

where  $\beta_i$  is the eigen value of [N] Equation (21) can be thus rewritten as

$$\left\{\Gamma'\right\} = [\chi] \{\Gamma\}$$

Obviously, Eq. (23) is a decoupled equation. The related solution can then be written as

$$\Gamma_i = C_i e^{\beta_i x} \tag{25}$$

Using (9), (11), (21) and (25), the relationship of acoustic pressure and particle velocity is

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{1}(x) \\ p_{2}(x) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{1}(x) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{2}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{1,1} & H_{1,2} & H_{1,3} & H_{1,4} \\ H_{2,1} & H_{2,2} & H_{2,3} & H_{2,4} \\ H_{3,1} & H_{3,2} & H_{3,3} & H_{3,4} \\ H_{4,1} & H_{4,2} & H_{4,3} & H_{4,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1} \\ k_{2} \\ k_{3} \\ k_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(26a)

where

Substituting x = 0 and  $x = L_{C1}$  into (26) yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{1}(0) \\ p_{2}(0) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{1}(0) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{2}(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H(0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{1} \\ C_{2} \\ C_{3} \\ C_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(27a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{1}(L_{C}) \\ p_{2}(L_{C}) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{1}(L_{C}) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{2}(L_{C}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H(L_{C1}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{1} \\ C_{2} \\ C_{3} \\ C_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(27b)

Combining (27a) and (27b), the resultant relationship of acoustic pressure and particle velocity between x = 0 and  $x = L_{C1}$  becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{1}(0) \\ p_{2}(0) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{1}(0) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{2}(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{1}(L_{C}) \\ p_{2}(L_{C}) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{1}(L_{C}) \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}u_{2}(L_{C}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(28a)

where

(24)

$$[\mathbf{T}] = [\mathbf{H}(0)][\mathbf{H}(L_{C1})]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{1,1} & T_{1,2} & T_{1,3} & T_{1,4} \\ T_{2,1} & T_{2,2} & T_{2,3} & T_{2,4} \\ T_{3,1} & T_{3,2} & T_{3,3} & T_{3,4} \\ T_{4,1} & T_{4,2} & T_{4,3} & T_{4,4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(28b)

Let 
$$p_1(0) = \overline{p}_1$$
;  $p_1(L_{C1}) = \overline{p}_3$ ;  $u_1(0) = \overline{u}_1$ ;  $u_1(L_{C1}) = \overline{u}_3$ ;  
 $p_2(0) = \overline{p}_2$ ;  $p_2(L_{C1}) = \overline{p}_4$ ;  $u_2(0) = \overline{u}_2$ ;  $u_2(L_{C1}) = \overline{u}_4$ ;

Equation (28) can be represented by the new symbols  $\overline{p}_1, \overline{p}_2, \overline{p}_3, \overline{p}_4, \overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2, \overline{u}_3$  and  $\overline{u}_4$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{p}_{1} \\ \overline{p}_{2} \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1} \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = [T] \begin{bmatrix} \overline{p}_{3} \\ \overline{p}_{4} \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{3} \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(29)

The equation of mass continuity between point 3 and point 5 with mean flow is expressed in (30)

$$c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{3}\overline{u}_{3} + S_{3}M_{3}\overline{p}_{3}$$

$$= c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{5}\overline{u}_{5} + c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{4}\overline{u}_{4}$$

$$+ S_{5}M_{5}\left(\overline{p}_{5} - \frac{p_{o}}{C_{v}}\frac{RK_{e}M_{5}Y_{5}}{p_{o}}\frac{v_{c,5} - M_{5}p_{c,5}/Y_{5}}{1 - M_{5}^{2}}\right)$$
(30a)

or

$$c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{3}\overline{u}_{3} + S_{3}M_{3}\overline{p}_{3}$$

$$= c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{5}\overline{u}_{5} + c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{4}\overline{u}_{4}$$

$$+ S_{5}M_{5}\left(\overline{p}_{5} - \frac{p_{o}(\gamma - 1)K_{e}M_{5}Y_{5}}{p_{o}}\frac{v_{c,5} - M_{5}p_{c,5}/Y_{5}}{1 - M_{5}^{2}}\right)$$
(30b)

where

$$K_e = \left[\frac{S_5}{S_3} - 1\right]^2; Y_5 = \frac{c_o}{S_5}$$
 (30c)

A concept of static enthalpy deduced by Munjal [11] is described as

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{c,5} \\ v_{c,5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & M_5 Y_5 \\ \frac{M_5}{Y_5} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{p}_5 \\ \rho_o S_5 \overline{u}_5 \end{bmatrix}$$
(31)

Substituting (31) into (30), we have

 $c_o \rho_o S_3 \overline{u}_3 + S_3 M_3 \overline{p}_3$ 

$$=c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{5}\overline{u}_{5}\left[1-\frac{(\gamma-1)}{c_{o}}Y_{5}K_{e}S_{5}M_{5}^{2}\right]+\left(M_{5}S_{5}\overline{p}_{5}+c_{o}\rho_{o}\overline{u}_{4}S_{4}\right)$$
(32a)

or

$$c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{3}\overline{u}_{3} + S_{3}M_{3}\overline{p}_{3}$$
$$= c_{o}\rho_{o}S_{5}\overline{u}_{5}\left[1 - Y_{e}\right] + \left(M_{5}S_{5}\overline{p}_{5} + c_{o}\rho_{o}\overline{u}_{4}S_{4}\right)$$
(32b)

where

$$Y_{e} = \frac{(\gamma - 1)}{c_{o}} Y_{5} K_{e} S_{5} M_{5}^{2}$$
(32c)

The equation of momentum for steady flow is

$$S_{3}\overline{p}_{3} + 2\rho_{o}S_{3}V_{3}\overline{u}_{3} + S_{3}M_{3}^{2}\overline{p}_{3}$$

$$= -c_{11} \begin{pmatrix} S_{5}\overline{p}_{5} + 2\rho_{o}S_{5}\overline{u}_{5} + \\ B_{5}M_{5}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{p}_{5} - \\ (\gamma - 1)k_{e}M_{5}Y_{5} \frac{v_{c,5} - M_{5}p_{c,5}/Y_{5}}{1 - M_{5}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} - c_{12}S_{4}\overline{p}_{4}$$
(33a)

where  $c_{11} = -1$ ;  $c_{12} = 1$  (33b)

Substituting (32) into (33), we have

$$S_{3}\left(1+M_{3}^{2}\right)\overline{p}_{3}+2\rho_{o}c_{o}S_{3}M_{3}\overline{u}_{3}+c_{11}\left(S_{5}+S_{5}M_{5}^{2}\right)\overline{p}_{5}$$
$$=-c_{11}\left(\frac{2S_{5}}{c_{o}}-\frac{(\gamma-1)K_{e}M_{5}^{3}Y_{5}S_{5}^{2}}{c_{o}}\right)\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{5}-c_{12}S_{4}\overline{p}_{4} \qquad (34)$$

The equation of energy conservation for steady flow is

$$\overline{p}_3 + \rho_o V_3 \overline{u}_3 = \overline{p}_5 + \rho_o V_5 \overline{u}_5 + K_e \rho_o V_5 \overline{u}_5$$
(35a)

or

$$\overline{p}_3 + \rho_o V_3 \overline{u}_3 = \overline{p}_5 + (1 + K_e) \rho_o V_5 \overline{u}_5$$
(35b)

With the rigid wall at boundary, we have

$$\frac{\overline{p}_2}{\rho_o c_o \overline{u}_2} = -j \cot(kL_2) \tag{36a}$$

or

$$\overline{p}_2 X_1 = \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_2; X_1 = -j \tan(kL_2)$$
(36b)

Expanding (29) becomes

$$\overline{p}_{3} = T_{1,1}\overline{p}_{1} + T_{1,2}\overline{p}_{2} + T_{1,3}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1} + T_{1,4}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{2}$$
(37a)

$$\overline{p}_{1} = T_{2,1}\overline{p}_{1} + T_{2,2}\overline{p}_{2} + T_{2,3}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1} + T_{2,4}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{2}$$
(37b)

$$\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{3} = T_{3,1}\overline{p}_{1} + T_{3,2}\overline{p}_{2} + T_{3,3}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1} + T_{3,4}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{2} \quad (37c)$$

$$\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{4} = T_{4,1}\overline{p}_{1} + T_{4,2}\overline{p}_{2} + T_{4,3}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1} + T_{4,4}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{2} \quad (37d)$$

Substituting (36b) into (37a)-(37d) and doing some rearranging, we have

$$\overline{p}_{3} = T_{1,1}\overline{p}_{1} + (T_{1,2} + T_{1,4})\overline{p}_{2} + T_{1,3}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1}$$
(38a)

$$\overline{p}_{4} = T_{2,1}\overline{p}_{1} + (T_{2,2} + T_{2,4}X)\overline{p}_{2} + T_{2,3}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1}$$
(38b)

$$\rho_o c_o \overline{u}_3 = T_{3,1} \overline{p}_1 + (T_{3,2} + T_{3,4} X) \overline{p}_2 + T_{3,3} \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_1 \qquad (38c)$$

$$\rho_o c_o \overline{u}_4 = T_{4,1} \overline{p}_1 + (T_{4,2} + T_{4,4}) \overline{p}_2 + T_{4,3} \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_1$$
(38d)

By substituting (32b), (34), and (35b) into (38a)-(38d) and eliminating parameters  $\overline{p}_2$ ,  $\overline{u}_2$ ,  $\overline{p}_3$ ,  $\overline{u}_3$ ,  $\overline{p}_4$ ,  $\overline{u}_4$ , the simplified equations become

$$\overline{p}_{1} = \frac{N_{3}N_{6} - N_{7}N_{2}}{N_{1}N_{6} - N_{5}N_{2}} \overline{p}_{5} + \frac{N_{4}N_{6} - N_{8}N_{2}}{N_{1}N_{6} - N_{5}N_{2}} \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{5}$$
(39a)

$$\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{1} = -\frac{N_{3}N_{5} - N_{7}N_{1}}{N_{1}N_{6} - N_{5}N_{2}}\overline{p}_{5} - \frac{N_{4}N_{5} - N_{8}N_{1}}{N_{1}N_{6} - N_{5}N_{2}}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{5}$$
(39b)

where

$$\begin{split} N_1 &= -W_2 W_5 + W_1 W_6 \ ; \ N_2 &= W_3 W_6 - W_2 W_7 \ ; \\ N_3 &= -W_2 W_8 + W_6 \ ; \ N_4 &= -W_2 W_9 + W_4 W_6 \ ; \\ N_5 &= -W_2 W_{10} + W_1 W_{11} \ ; \ N_6 &= W_3 W_{11} - W_2 W_{12} \ ; \\ N_7 &= W_{11} + W_2 W_{13} \ ; \ N_8 &= W_4 W_{11} + W_2 W_{14} \ ; \\ W_1 &= T_{1,1} + T_{3,1} M_3 \ ; \\ W_2 &= T_{1,2} + T_{1,4} X_1 + (T_{3,2} + X_1 T_{3,4}) M_3 \ ; \\ W_3 &= T_{1,3} + T_{3,3} M_3 \ ; \ W_4 &= (1 + K_e) \ M_5 \ ; \\ W_5 &= T_{3,1} \ S_3 + T_{1,1} S_3 M_3 - T_{4,1} S_4 \ ; \\ W_6 &= (T_{3,2} + X_1 T_{3,4}) S_3 + (T_{1,2} + X_1 T_{1,4}) S_3 M_3 \\ &- (T_{4,2} + X_1 T_{4,4}) S_4 \ ; \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} W_{7} &= T_{3,3} S_{3} + T_{1,3} S_{3} M_{3} - T_{4,3} S_{4}; W_{8} = M_{5} S_{5}; \\ W_{9} &= (1 - Y_{e} + Y_{e} M_{5}^{2}) S_{5}; \\ W_{10} &= T_{1,1} (1 + M_{3}^{2}) S_{3} + 2 T_{3,1} S_{3} M_{3} + C_{12} T_{2,1} S_{4}; \\ W_{11} &= (T_{1,2} + X_{1} T_{1,4}) (1 + M_{3}^{2}) S_{3} + 2 (T_{3,2} + X_{1} T_{3,4}) S_{3} M_{3} \\ &+ C_{12} (T_{2,2} + X_{1} T_{2,4}) S_{4}; \\ W_{12} &= T_{1,3} (1 + M_{3}^{2}) S_{3} + 2 T_{3,3} S_{3} M_{3} + C_{12} T_{2,3} S_{4}; \\ W_{13} &= C_{11} (S_{5} + S_{5} M_{5}^{2}); \\ W_{14} &= C_{11} [2 S_{5} M_{5} - S_{5} M_{5}^{3} (\gamma - 1) K_{e}]; \end{split}$$
(39c)

Combining (39a) and (39b) into a matrix form yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{p}_1 \\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} TPOE_{1,1} & TPOE_{1,2} \\ TPOE_{2,1} & TPOE_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{p}_5 \\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_5 \end{bmatrix}$$
(40a)

where

$$TPOE_{1,1} = \frac{N_3 N_6 - N_7 N_2}{N_1 N_6 - N_5 N_2}; TPOE_{1,2} = \frac{N_4 N_6 - N_8 N_2}{N_1 N_6 - N_5 N_2};$$

$$TPOE_{1,1} = \frac{N_3 N_5 - N_7 N_1}{N_1 N_6 - N_5 N_2};$$

$$TPOE_{1,2} = \frac{N_4 N_5 - N_8 N_1}{N_1 N_6 - N_5 N_2};$$

$$(40)$$

$$TPOE_{2,1} = \frac{N_3 N_5 - N_7 N_1}{N_1 N_6 - N_5 N_2}; TPOE_{2,2} = \frac{N_4 N_5 - N_8 N_1}{N_1 N_6 - N_5 N_2}$$
(40b)

#### 3. Transfer Matrix of a Perforated Intruding Outlet Tube

Similarly, for a perforated intruding tube shown in Fig. 5, its geometry is symmetrical to the above perforated intruding outlet tube. As derived in (8)-(38), the acoustical pressure and acoustical particle velocity at points 7 and 8 is

$$\overline{p}_{7} = (TT_{1,1}\overline{p}_{9} + TT_{1,3}X)\overline{p}_{9} + TT_{1,2}\overline{p}_{10} + TT_{1,4}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{10}$$
(41a)

$$\overline{p}_8 = (TT_{2,1} + TT_{2,3}X)\overline{p}_9 + TT_{2,2}\overline{p}_{10} + TT_{2,4}\rho_o c_o \overline{u}_{10}$$
(41b)

$$\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{7} = (TT_{3,1} + TT_{3,3}X)\overline{p}_{9} + TT_{3,2}\overline{p}_{10} + TT_{3,4}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{10}$$
(41c)

$$\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{8} = (TT_{4,1} + TT_{4,3}X)\overline{p}_{9} + TT_{4,2}\overline{p}_{10} + TT_{4,4}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{10}$$
(41d)

By substituting and eliminating parameters ( $\overline{p}_7, \overline{u}_7, \overline{p}_8, \overline{u}_8, \overline{p}_9, \overline{u}_9$ ) in (41), the simplified equations become

$$\overline{p}_{6} = \frac{NN_{7}NN_{2} - NN_{3}NN_{6}}{NN_{5}NN_{2} - NN_{1}NN_{6}} \overline{p}_{10} + \frac{NN_{8}NN_{2} - NN_{4}NN_{6}}{NN_{5}NN_{2} - NN_{1}NN_{6}} \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{10}$$

$$V \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow D_2 D_0$$

$$V \longrightarrow D_2 D_0$$

$$V \longrightarrow D_2 D_0$$

$$V \longrightarrow D_2 D_0$$

Fig. 5. The acoustical mechanism of a perforated intruding outlet tube.

$$\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{6} = \frac{NN_{7}NN_{1} - NN_{3}NN_{5}}{NN_{6}NN_{1} - NN_{2}NN_{5}}\overline{p}_{10} + \frac{NN_{8}NN_{1} - NN_{4}NN_{5}}{NN_{6}NN_{1} - NN_{2}NN_{5}}\rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{10}$$
(42b)

where

(42a)

$$\begin{split} A_{1} &= TT_{1,1} + (1 + K_{C})M_{8}TT_{3,1}; \\ A_{2} &= (TT_{1,2} + X_{2}TT_{1,4}) + (1 + K_{C})M_{8}(TT_{3,2} + X_{2}TT_{3,4}); \\ A_{3} &= TT_{1,3} + (1 + K_{C})M_{8}TT_{3,3}; A_{4} = M_{6}; \\ B_{1} &= \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{(\gamma - 1)K_{C}M_{8}^{2}}{1 - M_{8}^{2}} \right) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)K_{C}M_{8}^{4}}{1 - M_{8}^{2}} \right] S_{8}TT_{3,1} \\ &+ M_{8}S_{8}TT_{1,1} + S_{7}TT_{4,1}; \\ B_{2} &= \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{(\gamma - 1)K_{C}M_{8}^{2}}{1 - M_{8}^{2}} \right) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)K_{C}M_{8}^{4}}{1 - M_{8}^{2}} \right] \left[ TT_{3,2} + X_{2}TT_{3,4} \right] S_{8} \\ &+ M_{8}S_{8} \left( TT_{1,2} + X_{2}TT_{1,4} \right) + S_{8} \left( TT_{4,2} + X_{2}TT_{4,4} \right); \\ B_{3} &= \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{(\gamma - 1)K_{C}M_{8}^{2}}{1 - M_{8}^{2}} \right) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)K_{C}M_{8}^{4}}{1 - M_{8}^{2}} \right] S_{8}TT_{3,3} \\ &+ M_{8}S_{8}TT_{1,3} + S_{7}TT_{4,3}; \\ B_{4} &= S_{6}M_{6}; B_{5} = S_{6}; \\ B_{6} &= C_{21} \left( S_{8} + S_{8}M_{8}^{2} \right) TT_{1,1} \\ &+ C_{21} \left[ 2S_{8}S_{8} - S_{8}M_{8}^{3} \left( \gamma - 1 \right) K_{C} \right] TT_{3,1} + C_{22}S_{7}TT_{2,1}; \end{split}$$

\_

$$B_{7} = C_{21} \left( S_{8} + S_{8} M_{8}^{2} \right) \left( TT_{1,2} + X_{2} TT_{1,4} \right)$$

$$+ C_{21} \left[ 2S_{8} M_{8} - S_{8} M_{8}^{3} \left( \gamma - 1 \right) K_{C} \right] \left( TT_{3,2} + X_{2} TT_{3,4} \right)$$

$$+ C_{22} S_{7} \left( TT_{2,2} + X_{2} TT_{2,4} \right);$$

$$B_{8} = C_{21} \left( S_{8} + S_{8} M_{8}^{2} \right) TT_{1,3}$$

$$+ C_{21} \left[ 2S_{8} M_{8} - S_{8} M_{8}^{3} \left( \gamma - 1 \right) K_{C} \right] TT_{3,3} + C_{22} S_{7} TT_{2,3};$$

$$B_{9} = S_{6} (1 + M_{6}^{2}); B_{10} = 2S_{6} M_{6}; NN_{1} = B_{2} - A_{2} B_{4};$$

$$NN_{2} = A_{4} B_{2} - A_{2} B_{5}; NN_{3} = A_{1} B_{2} - A_{2} B_{1}; NN_{4} = A_{3} B_{2} - A_{2} B_{3};$$

$$NN_{5} = B_{7} + A_{2} B_{9}; NN_{6} = A_{4} B_{7} + A_{2} B_{10}; NN_{7} = A_{1} B_{7} - A_{2} B_{6};$$

$$NN_{8} = A_{3} B_{7} - A_{2} B_{8}$$

$$(42c)$$

Combining (42a) and (42b) into a matrix form yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{p}_6 \\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} TPOC_{1,1} & TPOC_{1,2} \\ TPOC_{2,1} & TPOC_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{p}_{10} \\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_{10} \end{bmatrix}$$
(43a)

where

$$TPOC_{1,1} = \frac{NN_{7}NN_{2} - NN_{3}NN_{6}}{NN_{5}NN_{2} - NN_{1}NN_{6}};$$
  

$$TPOC_{1,2} = \frac{NN_{8}NN_{2} - NN_{4}NN_{6}}{NN_{5}NN_{2} - NN_{1}NN_{6}};$$
  

$$TPOC_{2,1} = \frac{NN_{7}NN_{1} - NN_{3}NN_{5}}{NN_{6}NN_{1} - NN_{2}NN_{5}};$$
  

$$TPOC_{2,2} = \frac{NN_{8}NN_{1} - NN_{4}NN_{5}}{NN_{6}NN_{1} - NN_{2}NN_{5}}$$
(43b)

#### 4. Sound Transmission Loss

For combining (5), (6), (7), (40), and (43), the total transfer matrix assembled by multiplication is

$$egin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_o \ 
ho_o c_o \overline{u}_o \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e^{-jk \left[\frac{M_{1}(L_{1}+L_{2})}{1-M_{1}^{2}} + \frac{M_{5}kL_{4}}{1-M_{5}^{2}} + \frac{M_{10}(L_{6}+L_{7})}{1-M_{10}^{2}}\right]} \left[ \begin{bmatrix} TS1_{1,1} & TS1_{1,2} \\ TS1_{2,1} & TS1_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ \left[ \begin{bmatrix} TPOE_{1,1} & TPOE_{1,2} \\ TPOE_{2,1} & TPOE_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \left[ \begin{bmatrix} TS2_{1,1} & TS2_{1,2} \\ TS2_{2,1} & TS2_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} TPOC_{1,1} & TPOC_{1,2} \\ TPOC_{2,1} & TPOC_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} TS3_{1,1} & TS3_{1,2} \\ TS3_{2,1} & TS3_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_{11} \\ \rho_o c_o \overline{u}_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$
(44)

A simplified form in the matrix is expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_{o} \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{o} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11}^{*} & T_{12}^{*} \\ T_{21}^{*} & T_{22}^{*} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{p}_{11} \\ \rho_{o}c_{o}\overline{u}_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$
(45)

Under the assumption of a fixed thickness of the tubes ( $t_1 =$  $t_2 = 0.001$  m) and the symmetric design ( $L_1 = L_7 = (L_o - L_z)/2$ ;  $L_{z1} = L_{z3} = (L_z - L_4)/2)$ , the sound transmission loss (STL) of a muffler is defined as [10]

$$STL(Q, f, RT_{1}, RT_{2}, RT_{3}, RT_{4}, RT_{5}, RT_{6}, RT_{7}, RT_{8}, RT_{9}, RT_{10})$$
$$= \log\left(\frac{\left|T_{11}^{*} + T_{12}^{*} + T_{21}^{*} + T_{22}^{*}\right|}{2}\right) + 10\log\left(\frac{S_{1}}{S_{11}}\right)$$
(46a)

where

$$\begin{aligned} RT_1 &= L_z/L_o; \ RT_2 &= L_4/L_z; \ RT_3 &= L_{C1}/L_{z1}; \ RT_4 &= L_{C2}/L_{z2}; \\ RT_5 &= D_1/D_o; \ RT_6 &= D_2/D_o; \ RT_7 &= dh_1; \ RT_8 &= \eta_1; \ RT_9 &= dh_2; \\ RT_{10} &= \eta_2; \ L_o &= L_1 + L_z + L_7; \ L_z &= L_{z1} + L_4 + L_{z3}; \\ L_{z1} &= L_2 + L_{C1}; \ L_{z3} &= L_6 + L_{C2}; \ L_1 &= L_7 &= (L_o - L_z)/2; \\ L_{z1} &= L_{z3} &= (L_z - L_4)/2) \end{aligned}$$
(46b)

#### 5. Overall Sound Power Level

The silenced octave sound power level emitted from a silencer's outlet is

$$SWL_i = SWLO_i - STL_i \tag{47}$$

- where (1)  $SWLO_i$  is the original SWL at the inlet of a muffler (or pipe outlet), and *i* is the index of the octave band frequency.
  - (2)  $STL_i$  is the muffler's STL with respect to the relative octave band frequency.
  - (3)  $SWL_i$  is the silenced SWL at the outlet of a muffler with respect to the relative octave band frequency.
  - Finally, the overall  $SWL_T$  silenced by a muffler at the outlet is

$$SWL_{T} = 10 * \log \{\sum_{i=1}^{5} 10^{SWL_{i}/10} \}$$
$$= 10 * \log \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 10^{SWLO(f=125)-} & [SWLO(f=250)-\\ 10^{STL(f=125)]} & + 10^{STL(f=250)]/10} \\ & [SWLO(f=500)-\\ + 10^{STL(f=500)]/10} & + 10^{STL(f=1000)-} & [SWLO(f=2000)-\\ + 10^{STL(f=2000)]/10} & + 10^{STL(f=2000)]/10} \end{array} \right\}$$

604

(48)



Fig. 6. Performance of a one-chamber muffler equipped with perforated intruding tubes  $[D_1 = 0.018 \text{ (m)}, D_2 = 0.018 \text{ (m)}, D_o = 0.118 \text{ (m)}, L_z = 0.16, L_4 = 0.08, L_2 = L_6 = 0.0, L_{C1} = L_{C2} = 0.04, L_1 = L_7 = 0.04, t_1 = t_2 = 0.001 \text{ (m)}, dh_1 = dh_2 = 0.003 \text{ (m)}, \eta_1 = \eta_2 = 0.03375, M_1 = 0.0]$  [Experimental data is from Wang *et al.* [21]].

#### 6. Objective Function

By using the formulas of (46) and (48), the objective function used in the SA optimization was established.

1) STL Maximization for a One-Tone (f) Noise

$$OBJ_{1} = (Q, f, RT_{1}, RT_{2}, RT_{3}, RT_{4}, RT_{5}, RT_{6}, RT_{7}, RT_{8}, RT_{9}, RT_{10})$$
(49)

#### 2) SWL Minimization for a Broadband Noise

To minimize the overall  $SWL_T$ , the objective function is

$$OBJ_2$$

$$= SWL_{T} \left( Q, f, RT_{1}, RT_{2}, RT_{3}, RT_{4}, RT_{5}, RT_{6}, RT_{7}, RT_{8}, RT_{9}, RT_{10} \right)$$
(50)

The related ranges of parameters are

 $f = 250 \text{ (Hz)}, \text{ } \text{Q} = 0.05 \text{ } (\text{m}^3/\text{s}); D_0 = 0.4 \text{ } (\text{m}), L_0 = 1.6 \text{ } (\text{m});$  $RT_1: [0.5, 0.9]; RT_2: [0.1, 0.5]; RT_3: [0.2, 0.8]; RT_4: [0.2, 0.8];$ 

Table 1. Unsilenced SWL of a fan inside a duct outlet.

Frequency - Hz	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000
SWLO - dB	115	120	116	102	96	88



Fig. 7. Two kinds of low back-pressure mufflers [(a) a one-chamber simple expansion muffler; (b) a one-chamber muffler hybridized with nonperforated intruding tubes].

 $RT_5$ : [0.2, 0.8];  $RT_6$ : [0.2, 0.8];  $RT_7$ : [0.00175, 0.007];  $RT_8$ : [0.03, 0.1];  $RT_9$ : [0.00175, 0.007];  $RT_{10}$ : [0.03, 0.1] (51)

#### **III. MODEL CHECK**

Before performing the SA optimal simulation on mufflers, an accuracy check of the mathematical model on a one-chamber muffler with perforated intruding tubes is performed by Wang *et al.* [21]. As indicated in Fig. 6, the accuracy comparisons between theoretical data and analytical data are in agreement. Therefore, the model of a one-chamber muffler with perforated intruding tubes is acceptable and adopted in the following optimization process.

#### **IV. CASE STUDIES**

In this paper, the noise reduction of a space-constrained fan room is exemplified and shown in Fig. 1. The sound power level (SWL) inside the fan's outlet is shown in Table 1 where the overall SWL reaches 122.4 dB. To depress the huge venting noise emitted from the fan's outlet, a one-chamber muffler hybridized with perforated intruding tubes is considered. To obtain the best acoustical performance within a fixed space, numerical assessments linked to a SA optimizer are applied. Before the minimization of a broadband noise is executed, a reliability check of the SA method by maximization of the STL at a targeted one tone (250 Hz) has been carried out. As indicated in Fig. 7, to appreciate the acoustic performance,



Fig. 8. SA algorithm from a physical viewpoint.





Fig. 10. Flow diagram of a SA optimization.

Fig. 9. New random solution in a perturbed zone.

two kinds of low back-pressure mufflers (one, a simple expansion muffler; and the other, a muffler hybridized with nonperforated intruding tubes) are accessed and optimized. As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, the available space for a muffler is 0.4 m in width, 0.4 m in height, and 1.6 m in length. The flow rate (Q) and thickness of a perforated tube (t) are preset at 0.05 (m<sup>3</sup>/s) and 0.001 (m), respectively. The corresponding *OBJ* functions, space constraints, and the ranges of design parameters are summarized in (49)-(51).

#### V. SIMULATED ANNEALING ALGORITHM

The basic concept behind simulated annealing (SA) was first introduced by Metropolis *et al.* [9] and developed by Kirkpatrick *et al.* [7]. The simulated annealing (SA) algorithm is a local search process which imitates the softening process (annealing) of metal. In the physical system, annealing is the process of heating and keeping a metal at a stabilized temperature while cooling it slowly. Slow cooling allows the particles to keep their state close to the minimal energy state. In this state, the particles have a more homogeneous crystalline structure. Conversely, a fast cooling rate results in a higher distorted energy that is stored inside the imperfect lattice.

The algorithm starts by generating a random initial solution. The scheme of SA is a variation of the hill-climbing algorithm. As indicated in Fig. 8, all downhill movements for improvement are accepted for the decrement of the system's energy. Simultaneously, SA also allows movement resulting in solutions that are worse (uphill moves) than the current solution. This is done in order to escape from the local optimum. As indicated in Fig. 9, to imitate the evolution of the SA algorithm, a new random solution (X') is chosen from the neighborhood of the current solution (X). If the change in the objective function (or energy) is negative, i.e.,  $\Delta F \leq 0$ , a new solution will be acknowledged as the new current solution with the transition property pb(X') of 1; if it is not negative, then the new transition property (pb(X')) varying from 0~1 will be calculated by the Boltzmann's factor  $(pb(X') = \exp(\Delta F/CT))$  as shown in (52).

$$pb(X') = \begin{pmatrix} 1, \Delta F \le 0\\ \exp(\frac{-\Delta F}{CT}), \Delta f > 0 \end{cases}$$
(52a)

$$\Delta F = OBJ(X') - OBJ(X) \tag{52b}$$

where the *C* and *T* are the Boltzmann constant and the current temperature. Additionally, compared to the new random probability of rand(0, 1), if the transition property (pb(X')) is greater than a random number of rand(0, 1), the new uphill solution, which results in a higher energy condition, will then be accepted; otherwise, it is rejected. The uphill solution at a higher temperature will therefore have a better chance of escaping from the local optimum. The algorithm repeats the perturbation of the current solution and the measurement of the change in the objective function. As indicated in Fig. 10, each successful substitution of the new current solution will lead to the decay of the current temperature as

$$T_{new} = kk * T_{old} \tag{53}$$

606

Item	SA para	ameters	Results					
nem	kk	<i>iter</i> <sub>max</sub>	Kosuto					
1	0.90	200	RT1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	STL (dB)
			0.7659	0.3659	0.5989	0.5989	0.59989	44.3
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10	
			0.5989	0.005241	0.07654	0.005241	0.07654	
2	0.93	200	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	STL (dB)
			0.8428	0.4428	0.7141	0.7141	0.7141	55.4
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10	
			0.7141	0.006249	0.08998	0.006249	0.08998	
3	<u>0.96</u>	200	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	STL (dB)
			0.8664	0.4664	0.7496	0.7496	0.7496	63.7
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10	
			0.7496	0.006559	0.09412	0.006559	0.09412	
4	0.99	200	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	STL (dB)
			0.8663	0.4663	0.7495	0.7495	0.7495	63.7
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10	
			0.7495	0.006558	0.0941	0.006558	0.0941	
5	0.96	400	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	STL (dB)
			0.8785	0.4785	0.7677	0.7677	0.7677	69.1
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10	
			0.7677	0.006718	0.09623	0.006718	0.09623	
6	0.96	800	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	STL (dB)
			0.8829	0.4829	0.7744	0.7744	0.7744	81.4
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10	
			0.7744	0.006776	0.09701	0.006776	0.09701	
7	<u>0.96</u>	<u>1000</u>	RT1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	STL (dB)
			<u>0.8748</u>	<u>0.4748</u>	0.7622	0.7622	0.7622	85.2
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10	
			0.7622	<u>0.006669</u>	0.09559	<u>0.006669</u>	0.09559	

Table 2. Optimal STL for a one-chamber muffler with per-<br/>forated intruding tubes at various kk and iter<br/>max<br/>(targeted tone of 250 Hz).

where kk is the cooling rate; moreover, to reach an initial transition probability of 0.5, the initial temperature  $(T_o)$  is selected as 0.2 [13]. The process is repeated until the predetermined number (*iter*<sub>max</sub>) of the outer loop is reached.

#### VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 1. Results

To achieve good optimization, two kinds of SA parameters, including the cooling rate (kk) and the number of iterations (*Iter*) are varied step by step during optimization. The optimization system is encoded by Fortran and run on an IBM PC - Pentium IV. Two results of optimization (one, pure tone noises used for SA's accuracy check; and the other, a broadband noise occurring in a fan room) are described below.

#### 1) Pure Tone Noise Optimization

Seven sets of SA parameters are tested by varying the values of the SA parameters. The simulated results with respect to a pure tone of 250 Hz is summarized and shown in Table 2.



607

Fig. 11. *STL* with respect to frequency at various *kk* and *iter*<sub>max</sub> [target tone of 250 Hz].

As indicated in Table 2, the optimal design data can be obtained from the last set of *SA* parameters at (*kk*, *iter*<sub>max</sub>) = (0.96, 1000). Using the optimal design in a theoretical calculation, the optimal *STL* curves with respect to various SA parameters are plotted and depicted in Fig. 11. As revealed in Fig. 11, the *STL*s are roughly maximized at the desired frequencies.

#### 2) Broadband Noise Optimization

By using the above SA parameters, the muffler's optimal design data for one-chamber mufflers hybridized with perforated intruding tubes used to minimize the sound power level at the muffler's outlet is summarized in Table 3. As illustrated in Table 3, the resultant sound power levels with respect to three kinds of mufflers have been dramatically reduced from 122.4 dB(A) to 80.1 dB(A). Using this optimal design in a theoretical calculation, the optimal *STL* curves with respect to various SA parameters are plotted and compared with the original *SWL* depicted in Fig. 12.

To appreciate the acoustic performance, two kinds of low back-pressure mufflers (a one-chamber simple expansion muffler and a one-chamber muffler hybridized with non-perforated intruding tubes) are optimized. As indicated in Table 4, the resultant *SWL* with respect to three mufflers (a one-chamber simple expansion muffler, a one-chamber muffler hybridized with perforated intruding tubes, and a one-chamber muffler hybridized with non-perforated intruding tubes) are 94.2 dB, 80.1 dB, and 109.4 dB. Using this optimal design in a theoretical calculation, the optimal *STL* curves with respect to three kinds of optimized mufflers are plotted and compared with original *SWL* shown in Fig. 13.

#### 2. Discussion

To achieve a sufficient optimization, the selection of the appropriate SA parameters set is essential. As indicated in Table 2, the best SA set at the targeted pure tone noise of 250 Hz has

Itom	SA para	ameters	rs Results						
nem	kk	<i>iter</i> <sub>max</sub>	Kesuits						
1	0.90	40	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	SWL <sub>T</sub> (dB)	
			0.8729	0.4729	0.7593	0.7593	0.7593	98.3	
			<i>RT</i> 6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10		
			0.7593	0.006644	0.09525	0.006644	0.09525		
2	0.93	40	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	SWL <sub>T</sub> (dB)	
			0.6361	0.2361	0.4041	0.4041	0.4041	93.2	
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10		
			0.4041	0.003536	0.05381	0.003536	0.05381		
3	<u>0.96</u>	40	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	SWL <sub>T</sub> (dB)	
			0.6043	0.2043	0.3564	0.3564	0.3564	90.0	
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10		
			0.3564	0.003119	0.04825	0.003119	0.04825		
4	0.99	40	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	$SWL_{\rm T}({\rm dB})$	
			0.5617	0.1617	0.2926	0.2926	0.2926	92.2	
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10		
			0.2926	0.00256	0.0408	0.00256	0.0408		
5	0.96	80	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	SWL <sub>T</sub> (dB)	
			0.5354	0.1354	0.2531	0.2531	0.2531	88.2	
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10		
			0.2531	0.002214	0.03619	0.002214	0.03619		
6	0.96	160	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	SWL <sub>T</sub> (dB)	
			0.5485	0.1485	0.2727	0.2727	0.2727	86.4	
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10		
			0.2727	0.002386	0.03849	0.002386	0.03849		
7	0.96	320	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	$SWL_{\rm T}({\rm dB})$	
			0.8159	0.4159	0.6738	0.6738	0.6738	84.4	
			RT6	RT7	RT8	<i>RT</i> 9	<i>RT</i> 10		
			0.6738	0.005896	0.08528	0.005896	0.08528		
8	<u>0.96</u>	<u>640</u>	RT1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	$SWL_{\rm T}({\rm dB})$	
			<u>0.8101</u>	<u>0.4101</u>	<u>0.6651</u>	<u>0.6651</u>	0.6651	<u>80.1</u>	
			RT6	RT7	RT8	RT9	<i>RT</i> 10		
			0.6651	0.00582	0.08426	0.00582	0.08426		

Table 3. Optimal SWL for a one-chamber muffler with per-<br/>forated intruding tubes at various kk and iter<br/>max<br/>(broadband noise).

been shown. The related STL curves with respect to various SA parameters are plotted in Fig. 11. Figure 11 reveals the predicted maximal value of the STL is located at the desired frequency. Therefore, using the SA optimization in finding a better design solution is reliable; moreover, in dealing with the broadband noise, the SA's solution shown in Table 3 and Fig. 12 can also provide the appropriate and sufficient sound reduction under space-constraint conditions. To investigate the acoustical performance among three kinds of low backpressure mufflers (a one-chamber simple expansion muffler, a one-chamber muffler hybridized with perforated intruding tubes, and a one-chamber muffler hybridized with non-perforated intruding tubes), the resultant SWL<sub>T</sub> is shown in Table 4 and plotted in Fig. 13. It is obvious that the one-chamber muffler hybridized with perforated intruding tubes is superior to the other mufflers. As can be observed in Table 4, the overall sound transmission loss of the one-chamber muffler with perforated



Fig. 12. Comparison of the optimal *STL* with respect to original noise level at kk = 0.96 and *iter*<sub>max</sub> = 640 [broadband noise].

Table 4. Comparison of acoustical performance with re-<br/>spect to three kinds of optimized mufflers within<br/>a same space-constrained situation (broadband<br/>noise).

Item	Muffler Type	Results					
1	one-chamber simple expansion muffler	RT1*		RT2*		RT3*	SWL <sub>T</sub> (dB)
		0.1	163	0.2	245	0.2245	94.2
2	one-chamber muffler equipped with	<i>RT</i> 1	RT2	RT3	RT4	RT5	SWL <sub>T</sub> (dB)
	perforated intruding	0.8101	0.4101	0.6651	0.6651	0.6651	80.1
	tubes	RT6	RT7	RT8	RT9	RT10	
		0.6651	0.00582	0.08426	0.00582	0.08426	
3	one-chamber muffler equipped with	<i>RT</i> 1 <sup>**</sup>		$RT2^{**}$			SWL <sub>T</sub> (dB)
	non-perforated	0.2926			0.2926		109.4
	intruding tubes	RT3**			$RT4^{**}$		
		0.2926		0.2926			
Note $L_z^{**} = RT3^{**}$ Note $L_2^{*} = L_2^{**}$	1 (for one-chamber muf $RT1^{**}L_o; L_1^{**} = L_5^{**} = K_0; D_2^{**} = RT_4^{**}D_0$ 2 (for one-chamber simp $RT1^{**}L_o; L_1^{*} = L_3^{**} = (L_0^{**})$	fler equippe = $(L_o - L_z^{**})/2$ ple expansio $_o - L_2^{*})/2; D_1^{**}$	ed with non- ; $L_3^{**} = RT$ on muffler): * = $RT2^* * D_0$	perforated i $2^{**}L_{z}^{**}; L_{2}^{**}$ ; $D_{2}^{*} = RT3$	ntruding tub * = $L_4^{**} = (L_4^{**})$	bes): $L_z^{**}-L_3^{**})/2;$	<i>D</i> <sub>1</sub> <sup>**</sup> =

intruding tubes reaches 43.9 dB. However, the overall sound transmission loss of the one-chamber simple expansion muffler and the one-chamber muffler with non-perforated intruding tubes are 28 dB and 12.8 dB.

#### **VII. CONCLUSION**

It has been shown that one-chamber mufflers hybridized with perforated intruding tubes can be easily and efficiently optimized within a limited space by using a decoupling technique, a plane wave theory, a four-pole transfer matrix, and a SA optimizer. Two kinds of SA parameters (*kk*, *iter*<sub>max</sub>) play



Fig. 13. Comparison of the optimal *STLs* of three kinds of mufflers with respect to original noise level [broadband noise].

essential roles in the solution's accuracy during SA optimization. As indicated in Fig. 11, the tuning ability established by adjusting design parameters of mufflers is reliable.

In addition, the appropriate acoustical performance curve of three kinds of low back-pressure mufflers (a one-chamber simple expansion muffler, a one-chamber muffler hybridized with perforated intruding tubes, and a one-chamber muffler hybridized with non-perforated intruding tubes) has been assessed. As indicated in Table 4, the resultant  $SWL_T$  with respect to these mufflers is 94.2 dB, 80.1 dB, and 109.4 dB. As shown in Table 4 and Fig. 13, it is quite obvious that the acoustical mechanism using perforated intruding tubes inside the muffler's cavity has the best acoustical performance compared to those with no tubes and non-perforated intruding tubes. Consequently, the approach used for the optimal design of the *STL* proposed in this study is quite efficient in dealing with the industrial venting noise within a space-constrained situation.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the National Science Council (NSC 97-2221-E-235-001, ROC).

#### NOMENCLATURE

This paper is constructed on the basis of the following notations:

C: C <sub>o</sub> :	Boltzmann's factor sound speed (m $s^{-1}$ )
$k_1, k_2$ :	coefficients
$dh_i$ :	the diameter of a perforated hole on the
	<i>ith</i> inner tube (m)
$D_{\rm i}$ :	diameter of the ith perforated tubes (m)
D <sub>o</sub> :	diameter of the outer tube (m)

f: iter :	cyclic frequency (Hz)
j:	imaginary unit
<i>k</i> :	wave number $(=\frac{\omega}{c_o})$
kk:	cooling rate in SA
$C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$ :	coefficients in function $C_i e^{\rho_i x}$
$CC_1, CC_2, CC_3, CC_4$ :	coefficients in function $CC_i e^{\varepsilon_i x}$
$L_{C1}, L_{C2}$ :	lengths of perforate straight ducts (m)
$L_o$ :	total length of the muffler (m)
M: OBL:	mean flow Mach number
$ODJ_i$ .	acoustic pressure (Pa)
$\frac{p}{\overline{p}}$	acoustic pressure (1 <i>a</i> ) acoustic pressure at the <i>ith</i> node (Pa)
nh(T)	transition probability
O:	volume flow rate of venting gas $(m^3 s^{-1})$
$\tilde{S}_i$ :	section area at the <i>ith</i> node $(m^2)$
STL:	sound transmission loss (dB)
SWLO:	unsilenced sound power level inside the muffler's inlet (dB)
$SWL_T$ :	overall sound power level inside the
	muffler's output (dB)
<i>t</i> <sub>i</sub> :	the thickness of the <i>ith</i> inner perforated tube (m)
TS1 <sub>ij</sub> , TS3 <sub>ij</sub> :	components of four-pole transfer ma-
	trices for an acoustical mechanism with
	straight ducts
TPOE <sub>ij</sub> :	components of a four-pole transfer ma-
	the performant of an acoustical mechanism with a
TPOC	components of a four-pole transfer ma-
n ooŋ.	trix for an acoustical mechanism with a
	perforated intruding outlet tube
$T_{}^{*}$ :	components of a four-pole transfer sys-
IJ	tem matrix
<i>T</i> :	current temperature (°C)
$T_o$ :	initial temperature (°C)
<i>U</i> :	potential energy
<i>u</i> :	acoustic particle velocity (m s <sup>-1</sup> )
$\overline{u_i}$ :	acoustic particle velocity at the <i>ith</i> node
	$(m s^{-1})$
<i>u</i> :	acoustical particle velocity passing
	<i>ith</i> node to the <i>i</i> th node (m s <sup>-1</sup> )
$V_1$ :	mean flow velocity at the inner perfo-
, 1.	rated tube (m $s^{-1}$ )
$V_2$ :	mean flow velocity at the outer tube
	(m s <sup>-1</sup> )
$ ho_o$ :	air density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )
$ ho_i$ :	acoustical density at the <i>ith</i> node
$S_i$ :	specific acoustical impedance of the <i>ith</i>
	inner perforated tube
$\eta_i$ :	the porosity of the <i>ith</i> inner perforated

609

tube. $\beta_i$ :ith eigen value of  $[N]_{4\times 4}$  $[\Omega]_{4\times 4}$ :the model matrix formed by four sets of<br/>eigen vectors  $\Omega_{4\times 1}$  of  $[N]_{4\times 4}$ 

#### REFERENCES

- Chang, Y. C., Yeh, L. J., and Chiu, M. C., "GA optimization on singlechamber muffler hybridized with extended tube under space constraints," *Archives of Acoustics*, Vol. 29, No. 4, pp. 577-596 (2004).
- Chang, Y. C., Yeh, L. J., and Chiu, M. C., "Shape optimization on constrained single-chamber muffler by using GA method and mathematical gradient method," *International Journal of Acoustics and Vibration*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 17-25 (2005).
- Chang, Y. C., Yeh, L. J., Chiu, M. C., and Lai, G. J., "Computer aided design on single expansion muffler with extended tube under space constraints," *Tamkang Journal of Science and Engineering*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 171-181 (2004).
- Davis, D. D., Stokes, J. M., and Moorse, L., "Theoretical and experimental investigation of mufflers with components on engine muffler design," *NACA Report*, pp. 1192 (1954).
- Jayaraman, K. and Yam, K., "Decoupling approach to modeling perforated tube muffler component," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 69, No. 2, pp. 390-396 (1981).
- Kim, Y. H., Choi, J. W., and Lim, B. D., "Acoustic characteristics of an expansion chamber with constant mass flow and steady temperature gradient (theory and numerical simulation)," *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, Vol. 112, pp. 460-467 (1990).
- Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C. D., and Vecchi, M. P., "Optimization by simulated annealing," *Science*, Vol. 220, No. 4598, pp. 671-680 (1983).
- Magrab, E. B., *Environmental Noise Control*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1975).
- Metropolis, A., Rosenbluth, W., Rosenbluth, M. N., Teller, H., and Teller, E., "Equation of static calculations by fast computing machines," *Journal* of Chemical Physics, Vol. 21, No. 6, pp. 1087-1092 (1953).
- 10. Munjal, M. L., Acoustics of Ducts and Mufflers with Application to Exhaust and Ventilation System Design, John Wiley & Sons, New York

(1987).

- Munjal, M. L., "Plane wave analysis of side inlet/outlet chamber mufflers with mean flow," *Applied Acoustics*, Vol. 52, No. 2, pp. 165-175 (1997).
- Munjal, M. L., Rao, K. N., and Sahasrabudhe, A. D., "Aeroacoustic analysis of perforated muffler components," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 114, No. 2, pp. 173-88 (1987).
- Nolle, L., Armstrong, D. A., Hopgood, A. A., and Ware, J. A., "Simulated annealing and genetic algorithms applied to finishing mill optimization for hot rolling of wide steel strip," *International of Knowledge-Based Intelligent Engineering System*, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 104-111 (2002).
- Peat, K. S., "A numerical decoupling analysis of perforated pipe silencer elements," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 123, No. 2, pp. 199-212 (1988).
- Prasad, M. G., "A note on acoustic plane waves in a uniform pipe with mean flow," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 95, No. 2, pp. 284-290 (1984).
- Rao, K. N. and Munjal, M. L., "A generalized decoupling method for analyzing perforated element mufflers," *Nelson Acoustics Conference*, Madison (1984).
- Sullivan, J. W., "A method of modeling perforated tube muffler components I: Theory," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 66, pp. 772-778 (1979).
- Sullivan, J. W., "A method of modeling perforated tube muffler components II: Applications," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 66, pp. 779-788 (1979).
- Sullivan, J. W. and Crocker, M. J., "Analysis of concentric tube resonators having unpartitioned cavities," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 64, pp. 207-215 (1978).
- Thawani, P. T. and Jayaraman, K., "Modeling and applications of straight-through resonators," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 73, No. 4, pp. 1387-1389 (1983).
- Wang, C. N., "A numerical scheme for the analysis of perforated intruding tube muffler components," *Applied Acoustics*, Vol. 44, pp. 275-286 (1995).
- Yeh, L. J., Chang, Y. C., Chiu, M. C., and Lai, G. J., "GA optimization on multi-segments muffler under space constraints," *Applied Acoustics*, Vol. 65, No. 5, pp. 521-543 (2004).