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ABSTRACT

The coupled effects of surface roughness and flow rheology on the Elastohydrodynamic lubrication (EHL) circular contact problems are analyzed and discussed. The average flow model is adapted for the interaction of the flow rheology of lubricant and surface roughness. The averaged Reynolds type equation, the rheology equations, the elastic deformation equation, and the force balance equation are solved simultaneously. The multilevel multi-integration (MLMI) algorithm and Gauss-Seidel iteration method are utilized to calculate the film thickness and pressure distributions. The effects of flow index, Peklenik number, and standard deviation of composite surface roughness on the film thickness and pressure distributions are discussed. The results show that the transverse type roughness and standard deviation of composite roughness enhance the pressure and film thickness in the central contact region. Moreover, the greater the flow index is, the greater the pressure distribution is in the central contact region, and the greater the film thickness is in all regions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Elastohydrodynamic lubrication (EHL) describes the mode of lubrication in higher pressure and thinner lubricating film that one meets in many mechanical components such as gears, cams, rolling element bearings, and so on. In order to improve film thickness and lubricant viscosity, the polymeric fluid additives are added to the base oil. Therefore, The linear relationship between the shear stress and the rate of shear is not valid for many lubricants. So the use of non-Newtonian fluids as lubricants has become more important with the development of modern industrial materials. In addition, the surface imposed during manufacturing is not perfectly smooth. The film may then be as thin as the asperities collide. In EHL problems, the film thickness is usually comparable to the height of surface roughness. Therefore, it is important to estimate the pressure distribution and film thickness in the contact zone, for better understanding of EHL taking account of the rheology and surface roughness.

The lubricant rheology affects the lubricant film thickness and traction coefficient which are closely related to the power loss and wear of surfaces. To improve the tribology performance in the contact zone. The on-Newtonian characteristics have been invariably observed in various lubrication processes. This may be due to the high shear rate and the high pressure gradient, or due to additives. Various theories have been developed and analyzed to describe the flow behaviour of non-Newtonian lubricants. Some of which have been successfully applied in lubrication problems, such as continuum models of micropolar fluids [12, 20], continuum models of couple stress fluids [9, 24]. Both theories have been able to describe the structure of the additives and their coupling effect on the bulk flow of the lubricant. In addition, other non-Newtonian models has been presented by several researchers, such as Ree-Eyring model [2], Generalized Maxwell model [25], Bair-Winer model [1], Ivonen-Hamrock model [15], Circular fluid model [16], and Power-law models [10]. Each theory has its advantages and disadvantages to lubrication problems. However, In engineering practice, lubricants are often modeled with the power law fluid which accurately characterizes both pseudoplastic and dilatant fluids—two important classes of non-Newtonian lubricants. It is because of such wide coverage in the analysis of lubricants together with its mathematical simplicity that the power law fluid model has been preferred for application in the present problem. This power law model has found wide application in various lubrication problems [3, 8, 23].

In EHL contacts roughness and surface texture substantially affect the formation of a lubricating oil film between the contacting bodies, especially in lubricative elements working...
in the boundary lubrication regime. Therefore, the effects of surface roughness become important on thin film lubrication as the standard derivation of the composite rough surfaces is comparable to the local film thickness. Two widely used tools were proposed in modeling the surface roughness: the stochastic analysis [19] and deterministic analysis are [14]. The deterministic model uses measured surface topography to calculate pressure distribution and film distribution. It takes time and requires excellent computers to trace all the asperities of the two lubricating surfaces to obtain the convergence of the solution. The statistic characteristics of real rough surface can be mathematically approximated by a stochastic process with Gaussian heights distribution. The Christensen’s stochastic process theory [7] has been extended to EHL line contact and the effect of longitudinal roughness on the film thickness has been discussed [6]. The most commonly used approach is to solve Reynolds equation with the assumption of smooth surfaces and use ‘flow factors’ to statistically compensate for the surface roughness. An average flow model [19] taking into account the asperity contact pressure calculated from the force compliance relationship derived by Greenwood and Tripp [13] has been used by several researchers [22, 28] in order to describe the effects of the surface roughness pattern, film factor, and operating conditions on the performance of EHL. The average flow model has the advantage of being easy to apply to the distributed roughness problems. Several workers [5, 27] have incorporated effects of surface roughness in EHL analysis.

The combine effects of lubricant’s rheological character and surface roughness of a hydrodynamic journal bearing were studied by Li et al. [17]. So far, the study of the coupling effects of power law fluids and surface roughness on the EHL circular contact problems is not valid. The present analysis investigates the coupling effects of flow rheology of the power law and surface roughness on the EHL circular contact problems numerically by solving the average Reynolds type equations, elasticity deformation, viscosity-pressure and density-pressure relations equations, and load balance equations simultaneously. The film thickness and pressure characteristics of the lubricant are discussed for various parameters.

II. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

1. Average Type Reynolds Equations and Flow Factors

The constitutive relationship for a power-law fluid is as follows:

\[ \tau_{ij} = mD^{n+1} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}, \quad D = \sqrt{2\tau_0 \dot{\gamma}_0} \]

where \( m \) is viscosity consistency, \( \tau_{ij} \) is the Cauchy stress deviator, \( \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \) is the shear rate tensor, and \( n \) is the flow index. The conditions of \( n > 1 \), \( n = 1 \), and \( n < 1 \) correspond to a dilatant fluid, Newtonian fluid, and pseudoplastic fluid, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 1, the contact geometry of two balls can be reduced to the contact geometry as an elastic ball and a rigid flat surface. For the steady state, isothermal, EHL circular contact problems with effects of surface roughness and flow rheology taken into account, the average type Reynolds equation [17] can be expressed in the following form as:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h^{n+2} \left( \frac{\rho \phi_x \phi_p}{\sigma} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} h^{n+2} \left( \frac{\rho \phi_y \phi_p}{\sigma} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} \right) = 12u^{n+1} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \rho h}{\partial x} \right) - u^* \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{\partial \phi_p}{\partial x} \right]
\]

where

\[ u^* = u_2 - u_1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ u = \frac{u_2 + u_1}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The derived flow factors are:

\[ \phi_x = 1 + (n + 2) \left( \frac{\sigma}{h} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{n + 1}{2} \frac{n + 2}{\sqrt{n \gamma + 1}} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ \phi_y = 1 + (n + 2) \left( \frac{\sigma}{h} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{n + 1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \gamma + 1}} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ \phi_{xx} = - (n + 2) \left( \frac{\sigma}{h} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \gamma + 1}} + \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \gamma + 1}} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

with

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \gamma + 1}} = \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \gamma + 1}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)
\[
\left( \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma} \right)^2 = 1
\]  

(9)

Eq. (2) can be expressed in the following dimensionless form as:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left( \frac{H^{n+2}}{\bar{m} \phi^{n+2}_x} \frac{\partial P}{\partial X} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left( \frac{H^{n+2}}{\bar{m} \phi^{n+2}_y} \frac{\partial P}{\partial Y} \right) = \lambda \left( \mu^{n+2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial X} - \frac{\mu^{n+2} \sigma}{2\bar{m}} \frac{\partial \phi^{n+2}}{\partial X} \right)
\]

(10)

where

\[
\lambda = \frac{12m_{bs} b}{\rho \bar{b}^2} \left( \frac{R}{b} \right)^{n+1}
\]

(11)

\( \bar{m} \) and \( \bar{p} \) are dependent on pressure. The boundary conditions for Eq. (10) are:

\[
P = 0 \text{ at } X = X_m, -1.8 \leq Y \leq 1.8
\]

(12a)

\[
P = 0 \text{ at } Y = \pm 1.8, X_m \leq X \leq X_{end} = \varphi(Y)
\]

(12b)

\[
P = \frac{dP}{dX} = 0 \text{ at } X = \varphi(Y) \text{ and } -1.8 \leq Y \leq 1.8
\]

(12c)

2. Rheology Equation

Both the viscosity and density of the lubricant are assumed to be functions of pressure only, the relationship between viscosity and pressure used by Roelands [21] can be expressed as:

\[
\bar{\mu} = \exp \left\{ (9.67 + \ln m_0) [1 + (1 + 5.1 \times 10^{-9} \rho)^{-1}] \right\}
\]

(13)

where \( m_0 \) is the viscosity at the ambient pressure and \( \varphi \) is the pressure-viscosity index. According to Dowson and Higginson [11], the relationship between density and pressure is given as:

\[
\bar{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = 1 + \frac{0.6 \times 10^{-9} \rho}{1 + 1.7 \times 10^{-9} \rho}
\]

(14)

3. Film Equation

Using the parabolic approximation for the geometry, the lubricant film thickness in the dimensionless form can be written as:

\[
H(X,Y) = H_0 + \frac{X^2 + Y^2}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int \int P(X',Y') dX' dY' \]

(15)

Table 1. Computational data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G (Material parameter)</td>
<td>3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet viscosity of lubricant, Pa-s</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet density of lubricant, kg/m³</td>
<td>846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure viscosity coefficient, 1/GPa</td>
<td>15.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure-viscosity index (Roelands)</td>
<td>0.4836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced radius, m</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of balls, kg/m³</td>
<td>7850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus of balls, GPa</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio of balls</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( H_0 \) is a constant. Discretizing the elastic deformation integral, the film shape is given as:

\[
H_{i,j} = H_0 + \frac{X_{i,j}^2 + Y_{i,j}^2}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} K_{i,j} P_{ij}
\]

(16)

where the coefficients are determined analytically assuming the uniform pressure over the various rectangular areas in the contact area, the influence coefficients, \( K_{i,j} \), are computed according to Lubrecht [18]. The assumptions of roughness deformation are: large wavelength components deform almost completely whereas small wavelength components pass through the conjunction almost undeformed [26].

4. Force Balance Equation

The applied normal load on the balls must be supported by the generated hydrodynamic pressure in the contact region and is assumed to be a constant as:

\[
\int \int P(X,Y) dX dY = \frac{2\pi}{3}
\]

(17)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Table 1, the material properties of lubricants and balls for the present analysis are listed. The solutions of the film thickness and the pressure distributions of the isothermal EHL point-contact problems are obtained by solving the average Reynolds type equation, the load balance equation, the viscosity-pressure and density-pressure relations equations, and the elastic deformation equation simultaneously. The multilevel multi-integration (MLMI) algorithm [4] and the Gauss-Seidel iterative method are utilized to solve the pressure and film thickness distributions of the EHL problem with effects of surface roughness and flow rheology. Most cases presented in this paper use a domain extending from \( X_{in} = -4.0 \) to \( X_{o} = 1.4 \), and \(-1.8 \leq Y \leq 1.8 \). The dimensionless outlet location, \( X_{end} = \varphi(Y) \), is determined by the complementary theory of mathematical programming. Typical problem with

\[
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$W = 1.932 \times 10^7$, $U = 1.364 \times 10^{11}$, $G = 3500$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma = 0.1$, $\bar{\sigma} = 0.081$, $\bar{\sigma}_1 = \bar{\sigma}_2 = 0.057$, $n = 0.98$, and $S = -2.0$ is solved. A grid size of $33 \times 97$ grids in the half domain (symmetry w.r.t. X-axis) is used for the evaluation of pressure and elastic deformation.

In Figs. 2 and 3, the pressure distributions and film thickness are plotted, respectively. It is interesting to find a pressure spike occurs in Fig. 2. The associated film shape and film thickness contour plot shown in Fig. 3 shows that the film thickness contour plot in the pressure spike region can be detected as the U-shaped region of high gradients of the film thickness. This behavior can be explained by the average type Reynolds equation where the large second derivatives of the pressure spike should be compensated by large gradients in the film thickness. The pressure spike and U-shaped region are the main characteristic of EHL.

As shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), the effects of Peklenik number on the pressure distributions and film thickness are plotted in $x$-direction and $y$-direction, respectively. The longitudinal type roughness ($\gamma = 10$) and transverse type roughness ($\gamma = 1/10$) are discussed. The Peklenik number is defined as the ratio of the half-correlation lengths of the representative asperity in the principal directions. The transverse direction is orthogonal to the sliding direction. The transverse type roughness resists the flow in sliding direction ($x$-dir.), and enhances the flow in the perpendicular direction ($y$-dir.). Due to the present fixed load conditions, the pressure distributions of these two types should have the same load capacities by integrating the pressure distributions over the pressure acting area. Fig. 4(a) shows that the transverse type roughness has a small increase in pressure and film thickness near the high pressure region as compared to those of the longitudinal type roughness. On the contrary, we have a small decrease in pressure and film thickness in the inlet region for transverse type roughness as compared to that for longitudinal type roughness. Near the smallest film thickness region, the effect of surface roughness becomes important. Therefore, we have significant pressure difference between transversely and longitudinal type roughness as compared to those in inlet region. The symmetric nature of film thickness and pressure distribution with respect to the axis $X = 0$, are plotted as shown in Fig. 4(b). Under the conditions of fixed load, we have the larger pressure and film thickness near the central region for transverse type roughness, and the smaller pressure and film thickness outside the central region as compared to the pressure distribution of longitudinal type roughness.

To discuss the effects of standard deviation of composite surfaces ($\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$) on the film thickness and pressure distribution, the $X$-cross section and $Y$-cross section plots are plotted as shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. Larger standard deviations result in larger pressure distribution and film thickness near the central region. The reverse effects of pressure and film thickness outside the central region come from the fixed load condition requirement.
Fig. 4. (a) X-cross section plot, and (b) Y-cross section plot of pressure and film shapes obtained using two Peklenik numbers.

Moreover, the effects of power-law flow index \( n \) on the pressure and film thickness are shown in Figs. 6(a) \((Y = 0)\) and 6(b) \((X = 0)\). Near the central region, the pressure distributions follow the \((n = 1.02) > (n = 1.00) > (n = 0.98)\). However, the reverse properties for pressure occur in outlet region come from the fixed load condition requirement. Fig. 6(b) \((X = 0)\) show the effects of power-law flow index \( n \) on the pressure and film thickness in \( y \)-direction. Near the central region, the pressure distributions follow the \((n = 1.02) > (n = 1.00) > (n = 0.98)\). However, the reverse properties for pressure occur outside the central region inside the Hertzian contact, and the larger the flow index, the larger the pressure in outlet region. The film thickness follow the \((n = 1.02) > (n = 1.00) > (n = 0.98)\) in all regions. The larger flow index results in larger effective viscosity and thus larger pressure and film thickness near the central region. In addition, the larger pressure spike occurs as the flow index increases.

As shown in Fig. 7, the central pressure \( (P_c) \), and central film thickness \( (H_c) \) decrease as \( \gamma \) increases. The flow in \( X \)-direction is more restricted by the transverse type roughness as compared to that by longitudinal type roughness. Therefore, we have higher central pressure \( (P_c) \), and central film thickness \( (H_c) \) as the Peklenik number \( (\gamma) \) decreases. As shown in Fig. 8, the central pressure \( (P_c) \), and central film thickness \( (H_c) \) are plotted as functions of the composite standard deviation \( (\sigma) \) of roughness for flow index \( n = 0.98 \), 1.00, and 1.02. The central pressure \( (P_c) \), and central film thickness \( (H_c) \) increase as \( \sigma \) increases. As shown in Fig. 9, the central pressure \( (P_c) \), and central film thickness \( (H_c) \) are plotted as functions of flow index \( n \). The \( P_c \) and \( H_c \) increase as the flow index increases.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The effects of surface roughness on the film thickness and pressure are studied numerically based on power law fluid model under point contact EHL conditions. In EHL problems,
the film thickness is usually comparable to the height of surface roughness in the contact zone. Therefore, the effect of surface roughness becomes important. The results show that the effects of Peklenik number are significant near the central contact region, and the transverse type roughness enhances the pressure and film thickness. Moreover, the effects of composite roughness derivation are also significant near the central contact region, and higher composite roughness derivation enhances the pressure and film thickness. As compared to the above two effects, the flow index is the most significant parameter that affect the calculated pressure and film thickness. The central pressure and central film thickness increase as the flow index increases.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( b \)  
semiwidth of hertzian contact (m), \((3wR_0/2E'^{1/3})\)

\( E \)  
equivalent Young’s modulus (Pa)

\( G \)  
dimensionless material parameter, \( aE' \)

\( h \)  
film thickness (m)

\( H \)  
dimensionless film thickness, \( bR_0/\bar{h}^2 \)

\( H_c \)  
dimensionless central film thickness

\( H_{\text{00}} \)  
dimensionless constant defined in Eq. (15)

\( m \)  
viscosity consistency (Pa – s\(^2\))

\( m_0 \)  
reference viscosity consistency (Pa – s\(^0\))

\( n \)  
flow index

\( p \)  
pressure (Pa)

\( p_h \)  
maximum Hertzian pressure (Pa)

\( R_0 \)  
reduced radius of curvature in x-direction (m)

\( S \)  
slip/roll ratio, \((u_2 - u_1)/\bar{u}\)

\( \bar{u} \)  
average velocity (m/s), \((u_2 + u_1)/2\)

\( \alpha \)  
standard deviation of composite roughness (m)

\( \alpha_0 \)  
dimensionless standard deviation of composite roughness, \( aR_0/\bar{h}^2 \)

\( \rho \)  
density of lubricant (kg/m\(^3\))

\( \rho_0 \)  
density of lubricant at ambient pressure (kg/m\(^3\))

\( \bar{\rho} \)  
dimensionless density of lubricant, \( \rho/\rho_0 \)

\( \gamma \)  
Peklenik parameter
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