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ABSTRACT

This study utilized the NACA 0012 finite wings to investigate the effects of wing sweep angle (Λ) and angle of attack (α) on the junction vortex at Re = 8 × 10⁴. The junction-vortex structures are visualized using the surface oil-flow visualization. The junction vortex is classified as — separation, attached, bubble, and bluff-body wake modes. The separation mode occurs at Λ < 12° and α < 5°. The attached mode occurs at low α for a swept-back wing (Λ > 0°) and the bluff-body wake mode occurs at high α for a forward-swept wing (Λ < 0°). Furthermore, the bubble mode occurs at high sweep angle (i.e., high backward sweep angle) and high angle of attack. Moreover, the properties of velocity vectors, normal stress and shear stress are also detected and analyzed using an X-type hot-wire anemometer.

I. INTRODUCTION

For an aircraft, the wing provides the lift to support the aircraft in flight and the fuselage holds the aircrew, passengers or cargos. The wing junction connects the wing and the fuselage. With the constrained three-dimensional wall effect, the boundary-layer separation induces the junction vortex occurring near the wing junction. Namely, the pressure gradient generates three dimensional flow separations near the wing leading edge and wing root. The junction vortex has attracted increasing interest in the aerodynamic and hydraulic issues [6, 8, 9]. In aerodynamic issues, the junction vortex affects the performance of wings, engine turbine, and jet-engine compressors. Furthermore, in the hydraulic issues, the junction vortex scrubs the base of bridge pier, and then damages the bridge.

The experimental study on junction flow was presented by Simpson [9]. Simpson utilized both the bluff and streamlined bodies to investigate the effects of junction vortex on the laminar and turbulent boundary layers. Besides, Simpson found that the junction vortex is highly unsteady and has high turbulence intensity, high surface pressure fluctuations except that in the laminar flow occurring at very low Reynolds number. Olcmen and Simpson [6] used microphones to measure the surface pressure fluctuations for several wing junctions. Furthermore, Olcmen et al. applied the surface oil-flow visualization (SOVF) to delineate the streamline patterns on the wing surfaces and probe the pressure-fluctuation near the nose region. They found that the pressure-fluctuation is bimodal. Moreover, the SOVF patterns display the primary separation regions, lines of low shear, and the fish-tail-shaped wake region. Devenport et al. [2] investigated the fillet effect to decrease the intensity of horseshoe vortex by changing the angle of attack (α) at 0, 6 and 12 degrees. They indicated that the effects of adding fillet and changing α can not remove the leading-edge separation or the formation of horseshoe vortex. Specifically, the fillet can push the separated flow away from the wing surface. Yen et al. [12, 13] changed the angle of attack and Reynolds number (Re) to classify the boundary-layer flow on the swept wings as six characteristic flow patterns. Their results indicated that Reynolds number has weak effect on the distribution of characteristic flow patterns as Re > 75,000.

Many researchers have focused their interests on the bluff body. Lin et al. [5] experimentally visualized the flow structures of horseshoe vortex near the junction of square cylinder and plate using the particle image velocimetry (PIV). They found that the Reynolds number did not influence the flow structures. Furthermore, they classified the horseshoe vortices as — steady horseshoe vortex system; periodic oscillation vortex system with small displacement; periodic breakaway vortex system; and irregular vortex system. Gand et al. [3] studied the flow structures near the junction of a wing and a flat fuselage. They found that the horseshoe vortex oscillates with the normalized frequencies of 0.2–0.3. Additionally, Gand et al. indicated that the Reynolds number had a dramatic influence on the onset of corner separation. With the analysis of numerical studies, Paik et al. [7] utilized the detached-eddy simulation to investigate the formation of dynamical horseshoe vortex. Moreover, they applied the turbulent boundary
layer approach to study the wall-mounted obstacle experiencing a strong adverse pressure gradient and three-dimensional separation. Paik et al. determined that the coherent horseshoe vortex occurring in the junction region is caused from the adverse pressure gradient on the wing.

Our investigation studies the formation of junction vortex using various Reynolds numbers, sweep angles, and angles of attack. As well, the decrease of junction-vortex intensity is analyzed and presented. Summarily, the objectives of our investigation is to (1) delineate the flow pattern using the surface oil-flow visualization; (2) classify the flow modes using SOFV patterns; (3) investigate the effect of angle of attack, and sweep angle on the shear stress near the wing junction using the hot-wire anemometry. For future applications, the current results can be utilized in the airfoil performance, gas-engine blade design and civil architecture design.

II. EXPERIMENTS

1. Apparatus

The experiments were tested in an open-channel wind tunnel. A polished aluminum-alloy plate was set as the test-section base, and three highly transparent Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) plates were utilized as the roof and lateral plates for flow observation and photography. Fig. 1 schematically plots the experimental arrangements. The width, height and length of this wind-tunnel test-section are 50, 50, and 120 cm², respectively. Furthermore, the turbulence intensity of free-stream is < 0.4% as the free-stream velocity \( u_\infty \) operated in the range of 0.56 < \( u_\infty \) < 45 m/s. In the wind-tunnel diffuser, an axial fan was used to control the free-stream velocity. The free-stream velocity was probed using a Pitot tube connected to an inclined U-tube manometer. Furthermore, the test points along the x/C-ordinate is located at wing leading edge and the zero point of the y-coordinate is set at the wing-root close to the fuselage. The hot-wire anemometer was installed at \( x/C = -0.212 \) to detect the velocity signal. Furthermore, the test points along the y/C are located from 0.002 to 1.333. Fig. 3(a) shows that the distribution of free-stream velocity along the axis of \( x/C = -0.212 \). In Fig. 3(a), the velocity profile becomes uniform when y/C is > 0.208. Namely, the free-stream velocity was not affected by the wall effect when y/C > 0.208. Furthermore, the velocity profile becomes uniform when y/C is > 0.208. Finally, the airfoil model was mounted on a support, and protruded perpendicularly through the aluminum-alloy base of the test section.

3. Surface Oil-Flow Visualization

Squire theoretically presented the surface oil-flow visualization (SOFV) by painting the wing surface with a visible fluid and then observed the boundary-layer patterns in the fluid [10]. In this investigation, the engine oil mixed with blue dye powder was painted on the wing upper surface. The dark-blue traces on the wing surface delineated the position of accumulated dyed engine oil. The surface-flow direction on wing surface was delineated using the skin-friction lines visualized by the oil flow. The positions of boundary-layer flow separation and reattachment were measured from the video recorder.

4. Hot-Wire Anemometry

The velocity vectors were detected using an X-type hot wire sensor (cross type, TSI 1240-T1.5). The diameter and length of the hot wire were 5 μm and 1.5 mm, respectively, and therefore the dynamic response of hot wire was between 15 and 25 kHz. Finally, the voltage signals of hot-wire anemometer were input the high-speed PC-based data acquisitor.

5. Velocity Profile Near Wing Junction

In order to find the wing junction region, Fig. 3 shows the velocity profile and distribution of turbulence intensity (TI) while the Reynolds number (Re) is \( 8 \times 10^4 \). The origin of x-coordinate is located at wing leading edge and the zero point of the y-coordinate is set at the wing-root close to the fuselage. The hot-wire anemometer was installed at \( x/C = -0.212 \) to detect the velocity signal. Furthermore, the test points along the y/C are located from 0.002 to 1.333. Fig. 3(a) shows that the distribution of free-stream velocity along the axis of \( x/C = -0.212 \). In Fig. 3(a), the velocity profile becomes uniform when y/C is > 0.208. Namely, the free-stream velocity was not affected by the wall effect when y/C > 0.208. Furthermore, Fig. 3(b) shows the distribution of TI along the axis of \( x/C = -0.212 \) and \( Re = 8 \times 10^4 \). The TI does not change while y/C > 0.25.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Surface Oil-Flow Patterns

Figs. 4 and 5 plot the visualized surface oil-flow patterns and sketched flow patterns near the wing junction. In Figs. 4 and 5, the effects of sweep angle, angle of attack on the mode distribution are considered at $Re = 8 \times 10^4$. For $\Lambda = -45^\circ$ (i.e., forward swept), Figs. 4(a) and 5(a) display that the separation mode occurred at $\alpha < 5^\circ$, bubble mode occurred at $5^\circ < \alpha < 10^\circ$, and the bluff-body wake mode occurs at $\alpha > 10^\circ$. The bold accumulated blue lines reveal the flow-separation lines. For $\Lambda = -30^\circ$, Figs. 4(b) and 5(b) show that the separation mode occurred at $\alpha < 5^\circ$, bubble mode occurred at $5^\circ < \alpha < 12^\circ$ and the bluff-body wake mode occurred at $\alpha > 12^\circ$. For a straight wing (i.e., unswept and $\Lambda = 0^\circ$), Figs. 4(c) and 5(c) reveal that the separation mode occurred at $\alpha < 5^\circ$, bubble mode occurred at $\alpha > 5^\circ$. Namely, the attached mode did not occur in the observation range. For $\Lambda = 30^\circ$ (i.e., swept-back wing), Figs. 4(d) and 5(d) demonstrate that the separation mode occurred at $\alpha < 5^\circ$, bubble mode occurred at $\alpha > 5^\circ$ and no attached mode is observed in this investigation range. In addition, for a swept-back wing with $\Lambda = 45^\circ$, Figs. 4(e) and 5(e) delineate that the attached mode occurred at $\alpha < 15^\circ$, and the bubble mode occurred at $\alpha > 15^\circ$. Specifically, the separation mode does not exist.

2. Characteristic Flow Patterns

Fig. 6 shows the flow-mode distribution with the
consideration of the effects of sweep angle and angle of attack when \( Re = 8 \times 10^4 \). The flow-mode distribution was classified with the flow observation characteristics illustrated in Fig. 4. Fig. 6 reveals that the separation mode occurred at \( \alpha < 5^\circ \) and \( \Lambda < 15^\circ \). The attached mode occurred at low \( \alpha \) for a swept-back wing \( (\Lambda > 0^\circ) \) and the bluff-body wake mode occurred at high \( \alpha \) for a forward-swept wing \( (\Lambda < 0^\circ) \). Besides, the bubble mode occurred at high sweep angle \( (i.e., \text{high backward sweep angle}) \) and high angle of attack. Previous studies have focussed on the prediction of vortex-junction flow patterns at low Reynolds number \[4, 6, 9, 11\]. These junction-flow patterns at high Reynolds number can be used in fuselage scour of high-speed air vehicles.

3. Velocity Properties

Figs. 7-9 show the velocity-vector characteristics measured with an X-type hot-wire anemometer. To examine the velocity vectors of unsteady junction vortex around the wing root, this X-type hot-wire probe was placed at \( y/C = 0.125 \). In addition, the velocity components along the \( x \)-axis were detected.

Fig. 7 reveals the variations of non-dimensional streamwise velocity \( (u/u_\infty) \), transverse velocity \( (v/u_\infty) \), streamwise normal stress \( (u'v'/u_\infty^2) \), transverse normal stress \( (v'v'/u_\infty^2) \), and shear stress \( (u'v'/u_\infty^2) \) versus the non-dimensional coordinate \( (x/C) \) using various angles of attack for a straight wing \( (i.e., \Lambda = 0^\circ) \). Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) show the distributions of \( u/u_\infty \) and \( u/u_\infty \) versus \( x/C \) ahead and behind the wing junction, respectively. Fig. 7(a) displays that \( u/u_\infty \) decreased as \( \alpha \) increased and \( u/u_\infty \) decreased while the airflow moved toward the wing body. Fig. 7(b) delineates that \( u/u_\infty \) decreased downstream at low \( \alpha \) \( (i.e., \alpha < 15^\circ) \) and \( u/u_\infty \) increases for high \( \alpha \) \( (\alpha > 20^\circ) \). Figs. 7(c) and 7(d) plot the distributions of \( v/u_\infty \) against \( x/C \) before and after the wing junction, respectively. In Fig. 7(c), \( v/u_\infty \) increased while \( \alpha \) increased and the absolute value of \( v/u_\infty \) increased as the airflow approached the wing root. Fig. 7(d) shows that the \( v/u_\infty \) vector increased for low \( \alpha \) and the \( v/u_\infty \) vector decreased for high \( \alpha \) as the airflow moved downstream. Figs. 7(e) and 7(f) depict the variations of \( u'v'/u_\infty^2 \) against \( x/C \) near the wing junction. Fig. 7(e) reveals that the streamwise
normal stress had a significant increase as \( \alpha = 90^\circ \) near the wing junction. Furthermore, Fig. 7(f) displays that \( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \) decreased for low \( \alpha (\alpha < 15^\circ) \) when the airflow moved downstream. However, \( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \) increased for high \( \alpha (\alpha > 20^\circ) \) while the airflow moved downstream. Additionally, the distributions of transverse normal stress shown in Figs. 7(g) and 7(h) have the similar profiles as the streamwise normal stress shown in Figs. 7(e) and 7(f). Furthermore, Figs. 7(i) and 7(j) depict the variations of shear stress against \( x/C \). The \( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \) increased when the airflow moved toward the wing body. Specifically, \( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \) had a significant jump near the wing root when \( \alpha = 90^\circ \). Moreover, Fig. 7(j) shows that the shear stress decreased with the airflow moving downstream for \( \alpha < 15^\circ \) and the shear stress increased as the airflow moves downstream when \( \alpha > 20^\circ \).

Fig. 8 shows the variations of non-dimensional streamwise velocity (\( u/u_* \)), transverse velocity (\( v/u_* \)), streamwise normal stress (\( \frac{u'u'}{u_*^2} \)), transverse normal stress (\( \frac{v'v'}{u_*^2} \)), and shear stress (\( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \)) versus the non-dimensional coordinate (\( x/C \)) while the airflow moved toward the wing body. The velocity vectors of \( u/v \) reveal that the spanwise flow directions of different forward-swept wings were different from those of swept-back wings. Specifically, \( u/v \) had a significant decrease near the wing root as \( A = -45^\circ \). Fig. 8(d) shows that the absolute values of \( u/v \) for the swept wings were lower than that of a straight wing. Figs. 8(e) and 8(f) depict the variations of \( \frac{u'u'}{u_*^2} \) against \( x/C \) near the wing junction. Fig. 8(e) reveals that the streamwise normal stresses near the wing root were divided into two groups. The value of \( \frac{u'u'}{u_*^2} \) higher than that of straight wing occurred for the swept-forward wings. Moreover, the value of \( \frac{u'u'}{u_*^2} \) lower than that of straight wing occurred for the forward-swept wings. Furthermore, Fig. 8(f) displays that \( \frac{u'u'}{u_*^2} \) decreased for all the wings when the airflow moved downstream. The distributions of transverse normal stresses, as shown in Figs. 8(g) and 8(h), had the similar profiles as the streamwise normal stresses shown in Figs. 8(e) and 8(f). Furthermore, Figs. 8(i) and 8(j) depict the variations of shear stress against \( x/C \). The \( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \) near the wing root were also separated by the straight wing. The \( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \) higher than that of straight wing occurred for the swept-backward wings, and \( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \) lower than that of straight wing occurred for the forward-swept wings.

Fig. 9 shows the variations of non-dimensional streamwise velocity (\( u/u_* \)), transverse velocity (\( v/u_* \)), streamwise normal stress (\( \frac{u'u'}{u_*^2} \)), transverse normal stress (\( \frac{v'v'}{u_*^2} \)), and shear stress (\( \frac{u'v'}{u_*^2} \)) versus the non-dimensional coordinate (\( x/C \)) using various sweep angles at \( \alpha = 30^\circ \). Figs. 9(a) and 9(b) show the distributions of \( u/v \) versus \( x/C \) ahead and behind the wing junction, respectively. Fig. 9(a) displays that \( u/v \) increased while the airflow moves toward the wing body.
the wing root. However, the absolute values of \( v/u_\infty \) for the forward-swept wings decreased when the airflow moved close to the wing root. Fig. 9(d) shows that the values of \( v/u_\infty \) were approximately constant behind the forward-swept wings. Figs. 9(e) and 9(f) depict the variations of \( u'/u_\infty^2 \) against \( x/C \) near the wing junction. Fig. 9(e) reveals that the streamwise normal stresses near the wing root were divided into two groups. For the swept-back wings, \( u'/u_\infty^2 \) increased as the airflow moved toward the wing junction. Moreover, the values of \( u'/u_\infty^2 \) near the wing root for the forward-swept wings were lower than that of straight wing. Fig. 9(f) displays that \( u'/u_\infty^2 \) approached toward different constants for the swept-back and forward-swept wings, respectively. However, \( u'/u_\infty^2 \) behind the straight wing increased while the airflow moved downstream. The distributions of transverse normal stresses shown in Figs. 9(g) and 9(h) had the assemble profiles with the streamwise normal stresses shown in Figs. 9(e) and 9(f). Furthermore, Figs. 9(i) and 9(j) depict the variations of shear stress against \( x/C \). The curves of \( \bar{u}'v'/u_\infty^2 \) near the wing root were also separated by the straight wing. The value of \( \bar{u}'v'/u_\infty^2 \) higher than that of straight wing occurred for the swept-backward wings, and \( \bar{u}'v'/u_\infty^2 \) lower than that of straight wing occurred for the forward-swept wings.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

This study elucidated the characteristics of junction-flow patterns around a NACA 0012 wing with the sweep angle of \( 0°, 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, 80°, 90° \) for \( Re = 8 \times 10^4 \). Besides, the effect of angle of attack on the flow field is also considered. The junction flow is classified as — separation, attached, bubble, and bluff-body wake modes. The separation mode occurs at \( \alpha < 5° \) and \( \alpha > 12° \). The attached mode occurs at low \( \alpha \) for a swept-back wing (\( \alpha > 0° \)) and the bluff-body wake mode occurs at high \( \alpha \) for a forward-swept wing (\( \alpha < 0° \)). Furthermore, the bubble mode occurs at high sweep angle (i.e., high backward sweep angle) and high angle of attack. For a straight wing (i.e., \( \alpha = 0° \)), the streamwise non-dimensional velocity \( (u/u_\infty) \) decreases as \( \alpha \) increases and \( u/u_\infty \) decreases while the airflow moves toward the wing body. In addition, using the swept wings at \( \alpha = 0° \), the streamwise normal stresses \( (\bar{u}'v'/u_\infty^2) \) near the wing root are divided into two groups. The \( \bar{u}'v'/u_\infty^2 \) which is higher than that of straight wing occurs for the swept-backward wings and \( \bar{u}'v'/u_\infty^2 \) lower than that of straight wing occurs for the forward-swept wings. Moreover, for the swept wings fixed at \( \alpha = 30° \), the curves of \( \bar{u}'v'/u_\infty^2 \) near the wing root are also separated by that of straight wing. The value of \( \bar{u}'v'/u_\infty^2 \) which is higher than that of straight wing occurs for
the swept-backward wings, and \( \frac{\overline{uv}}{u_\infty^2} \) lower than that of straight wing occurs for the forward-swept wings.
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